

A descent theorem in type theory

Egbert Rijke

September 26th, 2013

The descent property for model toposes (Rezk)

Let \mathbf{M} be a model category, let \mathbf{I} be a small category and $X : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be a functor with $\bar{X} := \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{I}}(X)$.

- ▶ Given a morphism $f : A \rightarrow \bar{X}$ in \mathbf{M} we can define $Y : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ by $Y(i) := X(i) \times_{\bar{X}}^h A$. Then the canonical morphism $\bar{Y} \rightarrow A$ is a weak equivalence.
- ▶ Given $Y : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ and a cartesian transformation $\alpha : Y \rightarrow X$, the canonical morphism $Y(i) \rightarrow X(i) \times_{\bar{X}}^h \bar{Y}$ is a weak equivalence.

Another way of looking at this is that there is an equivalence

“cartesian transformations to X ” \simeq “morphisms into \bar{X} ”

The goal is to give a translation of the descent property into type theory.

- ▶ To simplify matters a bit: we take diagrams over graphs.
 - ▶ So this is just the first step towards a more general theorem. We get to see what's possible.
 - ▶ Graphs are not restricted in homotopy level the way categories (currently) are.
- ▶ We can directly give definitions of cartesian transformations and attempt a proof of a direct translation of the descent property. However, this is not as easy as it sounds.
- ▶ Instead, we make use of the virtues of *dependent* type theory
 - ▶ Functions into the colimit are equivalently described by **families over the colimit**.
 - ▶ Likewise, cartesian transformations of diagrams are equivalently described by **certain families of diagrams**.
We just have to figure out which ones!

Overview of the rest of the talk

- ▶ First we give a bit of theory of graphs in type theory.
- ▶ Then we describe colimits as higher inductive types.
- ▶ And finally we head for the descent theorem.

Graphs and their families and morphisms

- ▶ A **graph** is a pair $\langle \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \rangle$ where

$\Gamma_0 : \text{Type}$ the type of *vertices*

$\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{Type}$ the type of *edges*

- ▶ A **family of graphs** A over Γ is a pair $\langle A_0, A_1 \rangle$ where

$A_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$A_1 : \prod_{\{i,j:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} A_0(i) \rightarrow A_0(j) \rightarrow \text{Type}.$

- ▶ A **graph morphism** $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a pair $\langle f_0, f_1 \rangle$ where

$f_0 : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0$

$f_1 : \prod_{\{u,v:\Delta_0\}} \Delta_1(u,v) \rightarrow \Gamma_1(f_0(u), f_0(v)).$

Sums and fibers of graphs

- ▶ Suppose A is a family of graphs over Γ . Define the graph $\Sigma(\Gamma, A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(\Gamma, A)_0 &::= \sum_{(i:\Gamma_0)} A_0(i) \\ \Sigma(\Gamma, A)_1(\langle i, x \rangle, \langle j, y \rangle) &::= \sum_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} A_1(q, x, y).\end{aligned}$$

There's a graph morphism $\pi_1 : \Sigma(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow \Gamma$ for the projection.

- ▶ Suppose $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a morphism of graphs. Define the family fib_f over Γ by

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{fib}_f)_0(i) &::= \text{fib}_{f_0}(i) \\ (\text{fib}_f)_1(q, \langle u, \alpha \rangle, \langle v, \beta \rangle) &::= \text{fib}_{f_1(u,v)}(\langle \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1} \rangle_*(q))\end{aligned}$$

The object classifier of Graphs

- ▶ The **object classifier** for graphs \mathbf{U} is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}U_0 &:\equiv \text{Type} \\ U_1(X, Y) &:\equiv X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Type}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Therefore a family of graphs over Γ is exactly a morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ of graphs.

Theorem

For any graph Γ there is an equivalence

$$\left(\sum_{(\Delta:\text{Graph})} \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma\right) \simeq \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$$

Diagrams over graphs

Definition

A **diagram** D over Γ is a pair $\langle D_0, D_1 \rangle$ where

$$D_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{Type}$$

$$D_1 : \prod_{(i,j:\Gamma_0)} \prod_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} D_0(i) \rightarrow D_0(j).$$

As with families of graphs, we have the notion of a total space of a diagram: **it is the graph of its elements.**

Definition

When D is a diagram over Γ , define $\int_{\Gamma} D$ by

$$(\int_{\Gamma} D)_0 \equiv \sum_{(i:\Gamma_0)} D_0(i)$$

$$(\int_{\Gamma} D)_1(\langle i, u \rangle, \langle j, v \rangle) \equiv \sum_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} D_1(q, u) = v.$$

Families of diagrams and their sums

- ▶ If D is a diagram over Γ , a family E of diagrams over D is a diagram over $\int_{\Gamma} D$.
- ▶ Thus a family E of diagrams over D consists of

$$E_0: \prod_{\{i:\Gamma_0\}} D_0(i) \rightarrow \text{Type}$$
$$E_1(\langle q, p \rangle): E_0(u) \rightarrow E_0(v)$$

for every $\langle q, p \rangle : (\int_{\Gamma} D)_1(\langle i, u \rangle, \langle j, v \rangle)$.

- ▶ When E is a diagram over D , we define the diagram $\Sigma(D, E)$ over Γ by

$$(\Sigma(D, E))_0(i) : \sum_{(u:D_0(i))} E_0(u)$$
$$(\Sigma(D, E))_1(q, \langle u, z \rangle) : \langle D_1(q, u), E_1(q, u, D_1(q, u), \text{refl}_{D_1(q, u)}, z) \rangle$$

A classifier for diagrams

Definition

We define the graph U^{\rightarrow} by

$$U_0^{\rightarrow} \equiv \text{Type}$$
$$U_1^{\rightarrow}(X, Y) \equiv X \rightarrow Y.$$

- ▶ So diagrams over Γ are precisely morphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow U^{\rightarrow}$.
- ▶ A family of diagrams over D is a morphism $\int_{\Gamma} D \rightarrow U^{\rightarrow}$.

Likewise we can define U^{\leftarrow} and U^{\simeq} .

- ▶ U classifies all the graph morphisms.
- ▶ morphisms into U^{\rightarrow} correspond to **left fibrations** of graphs.
- ▶ morphisms into U^{\leftarrow} are the *contravariant* diagrams; U^{\leftarrow} classifies the right fibrations of graphs.
- ▶ **U^{\simeq} classifies the cartesian transformations.**

Fibrations

Definition

A **left (edge) fibration** of graphs is a morphism $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ if for every $u : \Delta_0$, $j : \Gamma_0$ and $q : \Gamma_1(f_0(u), j)$, the type

$$\text{leftLiftings}(u, j, q) := \sum_{(v:\Delta_0)} \sum_{(p:\Delta_1(u,v))} \sum_{(\beta:f_0(v)=j)} \beta_*(f_1(p)) = q$$

is contractible.

Theorem

Write $\text{leftFib}(\Gamma) := \sum_{(\Delta:\text{Graph})} \sum_{(f:\Delta \rightarrow \Gamma)} \text{isLeftFib}(f)$. Then there is an equivalence

$$\text{leftFib}(\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^{\rightarrow}.$$

Equifibered families of diagrams

- ▶ For a diagram D over Γ , define the type of **equifibered families** over D to be

$$\text{equiFib}(D) ::= \int_{\Gamma} D \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\simeq}.$$

Theorem

A diagram $E : \text{leftFib}(\int_{\Gamma} D)$ over $\int_{\Gamma} D$ is an equifibered precisely when the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{(u:D_0(i))} E_0(u) & \longrightarrow & \sum_{(v:D_0(j))} E_0(v) \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ D_0(i) & \xrightarrow{D_1(q)} & D_0(j) \end{array}$$

is always a pullback.

Colimits as higher inductive types

Let D be a diagram over Γ . Define $\text{colim}(D)$ to be the higher inductive type with **basic constructors**

$$\alpha_0 : \prod_{\{i:\Gamma_0\}} D_0(i) \rightarrow \text{colim}(D)$$

$$\alpha_1 : \prod_{\{i,j:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} \prod_{(u:D_0(i))} \alpha_0(D_1(q, u)) = \alpha_0(u)$$

The **induction principle** for $\text{colim}(D)$ is that for any family $P : \text{colim}(D) \rightarrow \text{Type}$, if there are

$$A_0 : \prod_{\{i:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(u:D_0(i))} P(\alpha_0(x))$$

$$A_1 : \prod_{\{i,j:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} \prod_{(u:D_0(i))} \alpha_1(q, u)_*(A_0(D_1(q, u))) = A_0(u)$$

then there is a section $f : \prod_{(x:\text{colim}(D))} P(x)$ satisfying

$$f(\alpha_0(u)) \equiv A_0(u) \quad \text{for each } u : D_0(i)$$

$$f(\alpha_1(q, u)) = A_1(q, u) \quad \text{for each } q : \Gamma_1(i, j) \text{ and } u : D_0(i).$$

The universal property of colimits

Theorem

For any family $P : \text{colim}(D) \rightarrow \text{Type}$, the type $\prod_{(x:\text{colim}(D))} P(x)$ is equivalent to the type of pairs $\langle A_0, A_1 \rangle$ where

$$A_0 : \prod_{\{i:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(u:D_0(i))} P(\alpha_0(x))$$

$$A_1 : \prod_{\{i,j:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} \prod_{(u:D_0(i))} \alpha_1(q, u)_*(A_0(D_1(q, u))) = A_0(u).$$

This equivalence is given by $\lambda f. \langle f \circ \alpha_0, \text{ap}_f \circ \alpha_1 \rangle$.

Corollary

For any type X , the type $\text{colim}(D) \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to the type of pairs $\langle A_0, A_1 \rangle$ where

$$A_0 : \prod_{\{i:\Gamma_0\}} D_0(i) \rightarrow X$$

$$A_1 : \prod_{\{i,j:\Gamma_0\}} \prod_{(q:\Gamma_1(i,j))} \prod_{(u:D_0(i))} A_0(D_1(q, u)) = A_0(u).$$

This equivalence is given by $\lambda f. \langle f \circ \alpha_0, \text{ap}_f \circ \alpha_1 \rangle$.

The descent theorem

Theorem

For any diagram D there is an equivalence

$$\text{equiFib}(D) \simeq \text{colim}(D) \rightarrow \text{Type}.$$

Theorem

There is an equivalence

$$\text{colim}(\Sigma(D, E)) \simeq \sum_{(w:\text{colim}(D))} \text{colim}(E)(w)$$