

*Ejection-collision orbits in some models of two degrees of freedom in Celestial Mechanics*

**Esther Barrabés, Universitat de Girona**

*E-mail address:* esther.barrabes@udg.edu.

We study the mechanism that explain the birth of ejection-collision orbits in some models of two degrees of freedom in Celestial Mechanics. Ejection-collision orbits are solutions that start at (eject from) a total collision of the particles involved and go back to a total collision after some time. The models considered are planar, have two degrees of freedom, two equilibrium points, and the total collision manifold  $\mathcal{C}$  is topologically equivalent to an sphere minus four points. For example, the Symmetric Collinear Four Body Problem (SC4BP) [2, 5], the Collinear Three Body Problem (C3BP) [4], the Rectangular Four Body Problem (R4BP) [5] or the Rhomboidal Four Body Problem (Rh4BP), [3]. In all of these problems, the knowledge of the dynamics on the total collision manifold is crucial to prove the existence of ejection-collision orbits. The main roles are played by two hyperbolic equilibrium points that live in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and their invariant manifolds. We review the ideas that lead the proof of the existence of the ejection-collision orbits, and in the case of the SC4BP we present a methodology to compute them numerically.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Lacomba, Mouvements voisins de collision quadruple dans le problème trapezoidal des 4 corps. *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.* 31 (1983) 23–41.
- [2] E. Lacomba and M. Medina, Symbolic dynamics in the symmetric collinear four-body problem. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 5 (2004), no. 1, 75–100, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02968131>.
- [3] E.A. Lacomba and E. Pérez-Chavela. Motions close to escapes in the rhomboidal four body problem. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 57 (1993) 411–437.
- [4] R. McGehee, Triple collision in the collinear three-body problem. *Invent. Math.* 27 (1974) 191–227.
- [5] C. Simó and E. Lacomba, Analysis of some degenerate quadruple collisions. *Celest. Mech.* 28 (1982), no. 1–2, 49–62.