

# A categorification of Yoshida's theorem for Mackey functors

PAUL BALMER<sup>1</sup>, IVO DELL'AMBROGIO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *UCLA Mathematics Department, United States of America.*

*E-mail address:* balmer@math.ucla.edu *URL:* <http://www.math.ucla.edu/~balmer>

<sup>2</sup> *University of Lille, France.*

*E-mail address:* ivo.dell-ambrogio@univ-lille.fr

*URL:* <http://math.univ-lille1.fr/~dellambr>

In the theory of Mackey functors for a finite group  $G$ , Yoshida's theorem provides an equivalence between Mackey functors which are *cohomological* (meaning that for all subgroups  $K \leq H \leq G$  the composite  $I_K^H R_K^H$  of restriction and induction is multiplication by the index  $[H : K]$ ) with representations of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(G) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\bigoplus_{H \leq G} \mathbb{Z}[G/H])$ . Typical examples of cohomological Mackey functors are group (co-) homology and Tate cohomology; the Burnside ring is a typical non-cohomological one. Reformulated in the “motivic picture” of Mackey functors, this says that if we quotient the category of spans of finite  $G$ -sets by the cohomological relations as above, we obtain the category of permutation  $G$ -modules.

In this talk I will present a categorification of Yoshida's theorem (see [2]), bearing on the *Mackey 2-functors* introduced in [1]. I will first define *cohomological* Mackey 2-functors, examples of which are given by abelian, derived or stable categories of linear group representations; a typical example of a non-cohomological Mackey 2-functor is given by the equivariant stable homotopy category. Our Yoshida theorem can be compactly formulated in the corresponding motivic picture, as saying that if we quotient the bicategory of *Mackey 2-motives* (constructed out of right-faithful spans of finite groupoids) by introducing the cohomological relations at the level of 2-cells, we obtain the bicategory of right-free permutation bimodules.

As motivation, I will explain the consequences this has for *block decompositions* of equivariant categories. We obtain for instance a direct and concrete way of comparing the usual blocks of representation theory (i.e. the primitive idempotents of the group algebra) and the additive decomposition of the equivariant stable homotopy category.

## References

- [1] Paul Balmer and Ivo Dell'Ambrogio. *Mackey 2-functors and Mackey 2-motives*. EMS Monographs in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2020.
- [2] Paul Balmer and Ivo Dell'Ambrogio. Cohomological Mackey 2-functors. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.03974>, 2021.