



Preprint núm. 1170
September 2013

Realization and characterization of
modulus of smoothness in weighted
Lebesgue spaces

R. Akgün

REALIZATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MODULUS OF SMOOTHNESS IN WEIGHTED LEBESGUE SPACES

RAMAZAN AKGÜN

ABSTRACT. We obtain a characterization of modulus of smoothness of fractional order in the Lebesgue spaces L^p_ω , $1 < p < \infty$, with weights ω satisfying the Muckenhoupt's A_p condition. Also, a realization result and equivalence between modulus of smoothness and the Peetre K -functional are proved in L^p_ω for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main problems of the constructive function theory is finding a relationship between structural characteristics and differential properties of functions. For studying the structural properties of a function one of the measures is given by the modulus of smoothness. This concept has various applications in analysis such as approximation theory, function spaces and interpolation theory. The modulus of smoothness of fractional order $r \geq 0$

$$\omega_r(f, \delta)_p := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|(T_h - I)^r f\|_p, \quad f \in L^p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

was defined by P. L. Butzer, H. Dyckhoff, E. Görlich, R. L. Stens [7] and R. Taberski [30], where I is the identity operator and $T_h f(\cdot) := f(\cdot + h)$ is the translation operator. It is well known that there is a close relation between decreasing order of modulus of smoothness of a function f and certain approximation properties of this function. Some of useful properties of the modulus of smoothness $\omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_p$ are hold when $\delta \searrow 0$ (near the origin). For $f \in L^p$, the decreasing order $\omega_r(f, \delta)_p \searrow 0$ can be described in terms of the function class Φ_r .

Definition 1. *We say that a function φ belongs to the class Φ_r ($r \in \mathbb{R}$) if it satisfies the conditions: (a) $\varphi(t)$ is nonnegative and bounded on $(0, \infty)$, (b) $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, (c) $\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing, and (d) $\varphi(t)t^{-r}$ is non-increasing.*

The class Φ_r describes completely the class of all majorants for the modulus of smoothness $\omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_p$ in the space L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, namely,

Theorem 1 ([31]). *Suppose that $r > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In this case*

(a) *If $f \in L^p$, then there exists a function $\varphi \in \Phi_r$ such that*

$$\varphi(t) \approx \omega_r(f, t)_p$$

holds for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, where equivalence constants are depend only on r and p .

(b) *If $\varphi \in \Phi_r$, then there exist $f \in L^p$ and a positive real number t_0 such that*

$$\omega_r(f, \delta)_p \approx \varphi(\delta)$$

holds for any $\delta \in (0, t_0]$, where the equivalence constants are depend only on r and p .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A10; Secondary 41A17, 41A27, 41A28.

Key words and phrases. Fractional modulus of smoothness, realization, Muckenhoupt weight, characterization, K -functional.

Partially supported by grant 2219 no. 2012-1-9246 of The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, TÜBİTAK, MTM2011-27637.

Here and in what follows, $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant c , independent of essential parameters, such that the inequality $A \leq cB$ is hold. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ simultaneously, we will write $A \approx B$.

For $\omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_p$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, some results of type (a) and (b) in Theorem 1 was investigated in papers [5, 24, 26]. For more information about fractional order modulus of smoothness we refer to the book [27] and the papers [6, 30, 31].

An important property of modulus of smoothness is that $\omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_p$ is equivalent to the Peetre K -functional

$$(1.1) \quad K_r(f, t, L^p) := \inf_{g, g^{(r)} \in L^p} \left\{ \|f - g\|_p + t^r \|g^{(r)}\|_p \right\}, \quad t, r > 0, f \in L^p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

The following equivalence was proved in [7]

$$\omega_r(f, t)_p \approx K_r(f, t, p), t > 0,$$

for $r > 0$, $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In the case of $0 < p < 1$, the K -functional is $\equiv 0$ (see e.g. [10]). In this case the realization functional

$$R_r(f, 1/n, L^p) := \|f - t_n^*\|_p + \frac{1}{n^r} \left\| (t_n^*)^{(r)} \right\|_p, \quad r > 0, f \in L^p, 0 < p \leq \infty, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

can be used. Here and in what follows, by t_n^* we will denote the best (or near best) approximating trigonometric polynomial for f , i.e., $\|f - T\|_{p, \omega} = E_n(f)_{p, \omega} := \inf_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} \|f - T\|_{p, \omega}$ (respectively, $\|f - T\|_{p, \omega} \lesssim E_n(f)_{p, \omega}$).

Realization result has a lot of applications ([9]). In particular, it is used to get Ul'yanov type inequalities (see e.g., [11]).

Theorem 2. *If $r > 0$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^p$, then the equivalence*

$$\omega_r(f, 1/n)_p \approx R_r(f, 1/n, L^p)$$

holds for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 2 was proved, in the equivalent form, in [10], for integer order modulus of smoothness. In case of the fractional order modulus of smoothness, Theorem 2 was proved in [28], [7] ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and [19] ($0 < p \leq \infty$).

The main goal of this paper is to obtain analog of Theorems 1 and 2 for the weighted Lebesgue spaces L_ω^p , where the weight ω belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_p , ($1 < p < \infty$). A 2π -periodic weight (i.e., a measurable and almost everywhere positive function) ω belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_p , $1 < p < \infty$, (see e.g., [23]) if

$$(1.2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \omega(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \omega^{\frac{1}{1-p}}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq c$$

with a finite constant c independent of J , where J is any subinterval of $\mathbb{T} := [0, 2\pi]$ and $|J|$ denotes the length of J . The least constant c satisfying (1.2) is called the A_p constant of ω and denoted by $A[p] := A[p](\omega)$. The Muckenhoupt weights play special role in Harmonic Analysis because these are precisely the weights for which some singular integrals and maximal operators are bounded in the weighted Lebesgue spaces. We refer to the monograph [13] for a complete account on the theory of Muckenhoupt weights. Throughout this work by c we denote positive constants which can be different at different places.

The weighted Lebesgue space L_ω^p , $1 < p < \infty$, is the collection of measurable functions $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having the norm $\|f\|_{p, \omega} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty$.

If $\omega \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists a real number $a > 1$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad L^\infty \hookrightarrow L_\omega^p \hookrightarrow L^a$$

with constants dependent only on p and $A[p]$. The left hand side of (1.3) is seen from (1.2) and the right hand side of (1.3) was proved in [15, 21].

Since the weighted Lebesgue spaces L_ω^p are, in general, not translation invariant, we need different definition of modulus of smoothness in L_ω^p . For the case $\omega \equiv 1$, there are many different types of modulus of smoothness (see for example [8, 32, 33]). For the Muckenhoupt weights, in 1986 E. A. Gadjieva ([12]) continued investigations in [33], and defined a modulus of smoothness which is constructed by means of the Steklov operator

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma_h f(x) := \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \quad h > 0.$$

For another approach, see [21, 22]. The Steklov mean satisfies the inequality $\sigma_h f(x) \leq Mf(x)$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} , where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. It is well known that necessary and sufficient condition for boundedness of M in L_ω^p , $1 < p < \infty$ is $\omega \in A_p$. Hence, under the conditions $f \in L_\omega^p$ ($1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$), we have

$$(1.5) \quad \|\sigma_h f\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,\omega},$$

with some constant independent of f and h . We note that for the case $\omega \equiv 1$ we have (1.5) for the range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We set $B_h := (I - \sigma_h)$, $[x] := \max \{a \in \mathbb{N} : a \leq x\}$, $\{x\} := x - [x]$ and $\prod_{i=k}^l B_{h_i} f := f$ when $l < k$. For $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^p$, we define

$$(1.6) \quad \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p,\omega} := \sup_{0 \leq h_i, t \leq \delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_t^{\{r\}} f \right\|_{p,\omega} \quad r > 0,$$

where $\Omega_0(f, \delta)_{p,\omega} := \|f\|_{p,\omega}$. In case $\omega \equiv 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we write $\Omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_p := \Omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_{p,\omega}$.

Using binomial expansion, for $t > 0$ and $0 \leq r < 1$, we have

$$(1.7) \quad B_t^r f(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k [C]_k^r (\sigma_t^k f)(\cdot), \quad f \in L_\omega^p.$$

Here as usual $[C]_0^r := 1$ and $[C]_k^r := \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{r-j+1}{j}$ are the binomial coefficients, $\sigma_t^0 := I$ and $\sigma_t^i := \sigma_t(\sigma_t^{i-1})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

From [27], we get $|[C]_k^r| \lesssim k^{-r-1}$, ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and hence,

$$(1.8) \quad C_r := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |[C]_k^r| < \infty.$$

Then using (1.7) and (1.8) we have

$$(1.9) \quad \|B_t^r f\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,\omega} \quad 0 \leq r < 1 \quad t > 0$$

for some constant dependent only on r and $A[p]$. Hence, from (1.9), (1.5) and (1.6) we get for $r > 0$, $\delta \geq 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^p$

$$(1.10) \quad \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,\omega},$$

with some constant dependent only on r and $A[p]$. We note that for the case $\omega \equiv 1$ we have (1.10) for the range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 3. *Let $r > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$.*

(a) If $f \in L_\omega^p$, then there exists a function $\varphi \in \Phi_{2r}$ such that

$$\varphi(t) \approx \Omega_r(f, t)_{p, \omega}$$

holds for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, where equivalence constants are depend only on r and $A[p]$.

(b) If $\varphi \in \Phi_{2r}$, then there exist $f \in L_\omega^p$ and a real number $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \approx \varphi(\delta), \delta \in (0, t_0],$$

and

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \approx \varphi(t_0), \delta \in (t_0, 2\pi),$$

hold, where the equivalence constants are depend only on r and $A[p]$.

The following theorem includes the realization result and an equivalence of the modulus of smoothness (1.6) and the Peetre K -functional (1.1).

Theorem 4. If $r > 0$, $f \in L_\omega^p$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then the equivalence

$$(1.11) \quad \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{p, \omega} \approx R_{2r}(f, 1/n, L_\omega^p)$$

holds for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where the equivalence constants are depend only on r and $A[p]$. Furthermore, we have

$$(1.12) \quad \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \approx K_{2r}(f, \delta, L_\omega^p), \quad \delta \geq 0,$$

where the equivalence constants are depend only on r and $A[p]$.

(1.12) implies the following result.

Corollary 1. (a) If $r > 0$, $f \in L_\omega^p$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then

$$\Omega_r(f, \lambda\delta)_{p, \omega} \lesssim (1 + [\lambda])^{2r} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega}, \quad \delta, \lambda > 0,$$

and

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \delta^{-2r} \lesssim \Omega_r(f, \delta_1)_{p, \omega} \delta_1^{-2r}, \quad 0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta.$$

(b) We have

$$\Omega_r(f, \cdot)_{p, \omega} \approx \omega_{2r}(f, \cdot)_p \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty \text{ and } f \in L^p$$

and therefore, Theorems 3 and 4 reduce the Theorems 1 and 2 (with $2r$).

The rest of the work is organized as follows. In §2, some polynomial inequalities, required for realization theorem, are obtained. Also, some estimates are obtained for characterization theorem. In §3 we give the proof of the main results.

2. POLYNOMIAL INEQUALITIES

Before the statement of the polynomial inequalities we give some preliminary explanations. Let \mathcal{T}_n denote the class of trigonometrical polynomials of degree not greater than n . We take a trigonometric polynomial $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(x, T), \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots)$$

and we define its conjugate \tilde{T} by

$$\tilde{T}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \sin kx - b_k \cos kx) =: \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x, \tilde{T}).$$

We define the *derivative* $T^{(\beta)}$ of fractional order $\beta > 0$ for the polynomial $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ as

$$T^{(\beta)}(\cdot) := \sum_{k=0}^n k^\beta A_k \left(\cdot + \frac{\beta\pi}{2k}, T \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta A_k \left(\cdot + \frac{\beta\pi}{2k}, T \right) =: \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(\cdot, T^{(\beta)}).$$

We note from (1.3) that we have $L_\omega^p \subset L^1$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$. Hence for a given $f \in L_\omega^p$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, we define the corresponding Fourier series

$$(2.1) \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k(f) \cos kx + b_k(f) \sin kx) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty A_k(x, f)$$

where

$$a_k(f) := \pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) \cos kx dx \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad b_k(f) = \pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) \sin kx dx \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since the corresponding Fourier series (2.1) of $f \in L_\omega^p$ converges in L_ω^p norm for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then we can write

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) \stackrel{p,\omega}{=} \sum_{k=0}^\infty A_k(x, f) \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

Using (2.2) and (1.4) we find

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma_h f(x) \stackrel{p,\omega}{=} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\sin kh}{kh} A_k(x, f)$$

a.e. on \mathbb{T} with $(\sin 0)/0 := 1$ and hence consecutively

$$\sigma_h^2 f(x) \stackrel{p,\omega}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\sin kh}{kh} \right)^2 A_k(x, f), \dots, \sigma_h^k f(x) \stackrel{p,\omega}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\sin kh}{kh} \right)^k A_k(x, f) \text{ all a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

From the relations (1.7), (2.2), (2.3), (1.8) and the last equalities we obtain

$$B_h^r f(x) \stackrel{p,\omega}{=} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{\sin kh}{kh} \right)^r A_k(x, f)$$

a.e. on \mathbb{T} . In particular, if $f(x) = \cos nx$, for $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then from the last equality

$$B_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^r \cos nx \stackrel{p,\omega}{=} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \pi}{\pi} \right)^r \cos nx = \cos nx \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

The following two lemma are required for realization result. We note that all lemmas of this section are new also in the case $\omega \equiv 1$.

Lemma 1. *Let $r > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then*

$$\Omega_r \left(T_n, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega}$$

holds with some constant depending only on r, p and $A[p]$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Setting

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)_* := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sin x}{x} & , x > 0 \\ 0 & , x = 0 \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)_* \leq x^2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}.$$

For $0 < t, h_i \leq \frac{1}{n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_t^{\{r\}} T_n \right\|_{p,\omega} = \\
& = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_1}{kh_1}\right)_* \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_{[r]}}{kh_{[r]}}\right)_* \left(1 - \frac{\sin kt}{kt}\right)_*^{\{r\}} A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\
& = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_1}{kh_1}\right) (kh_1)^2}{(kh_1)^2} \cdots \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_{[r]}}{kh_{[r]}}\right) (kh_{[r]})^2}{(kh_{[r]})^2} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\sin kt}{kt}}{(kt)^2}\right)^{\{r\}} (kt)^{2\{r\}} A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\
& \leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_1}{kh_1}\right)}{(kh_1)^2} k^2 \cdots \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_{[r]}}{kh_{[r]}}\right)}{(kh_{[r]})^2} k^2 \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\sin kt}{kt}}{(kt)^2}\right)^{\{r\}} k^{2\{r\}} A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\
& \leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_1}{kh_1}\right)}{(kh_1)^2} \cdots \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin kh_{[r]}}{kh_{[r]}}\right)}{(kh_{[r]})^2} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\sin kt}{kt}}{(kt)^2}\right)^{\{r\}} A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem for Lebesgue spaces with Muckenhoupt weight (see e.g. [20]) we have

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_t^{\{r\}} T_n \right\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega}.$$

For $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k(x, T_n) &= a_k \cos k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k} - \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) + b_k \sin k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k} - \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) \\
&= \cos r\pi \left[a_k \cos k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) + b_k \sin k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) \right] \\
&\quad + \sin r\pi \left[a_k \sin k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) - b_k \cos k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) \right] \\
&= A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, T_n\right) \cos r\pi + A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, \widetilde{T}_n\right) \sin r\pi
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_t^{\{r\}} T_n \right\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} \left[A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, T_n\right) \cos r\pi + A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, \widetilde{T}_n\right) \sin r\pi \right] \right\|_{p,\omega} \\
& \lesssim n^{-2r} \left(\left\| \sum_{k=0}^n k^{2r} A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, T_n\right) \right\|_{p,\omega} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, \widetilde{T}_n\right) \right\|_{p,\omega} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$A_k(x, T_n^{(2r)}) = k^{2r} A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, T_n\right), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r \left(T_n, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,\omega} &= \sup_{0 \leq t, h_i \leq 1/n} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_t^{\{r\}} T_n \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\lesssim n^{-2r} \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, T_n \right) \right\|_{p,\omega} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, \widetilde{T}_n \right) \right\|_{p,\omega} \right) \\ &\lesssim n^{-2r} \left(\|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} + \|\widetilde{T}_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} \right) = cn^{-2r} \left(\|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} + \|\widetilde{T}_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} \right) \\ &\lesssim n^{-2r} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. □

The following lemma is an improvement of Bernstein inequality.

Lemma 2. *Let $r > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r \left(T_n, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,\omega}$$

holds with some constant depending only on r, p and $A[p]$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Let

$$T(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2r} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} &= n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} A_k \left(x + \frac{r\pi}{k}, T_n \right) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &= n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} \left(\cos r\pi A_k(x, T_n) - \sin r\pi A_k(x, \widetilde{T}_n) \right) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} \cos r\pi A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r} \sin r\pi A_k(x, \widetilde{T}_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \cos r\pi \left(\frac{\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{n}\right)} \right)^r \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{n} \right)^r A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sin r\pi \left(\frac{\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{n}\right)} \right)^r \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{n} \right)^r A_k(x, \widetilde{T}_n) \right\|_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem ([20]) for Lebesgue spaces with Muckenhoupt weight we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2r} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} &\lesssim \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^r A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^r A_k(x, \widetilde{T}_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^r A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^r A_k(x, T_n) \right) \right\|_{p,\omega}^{\sim}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the linearity property of conjugate operator. Thus from boundedness of conjugate (see e.g, [14]) operator we get

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2r} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} &\lesssim \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^r A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^r A_k(x, T_n) \right\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\lesssim \|B_{1/n}^r T_n\|_{p,\omega} = c \|B_{1/n}^{[r]+\{r\}} T_n\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\substack{0 < h_i, u \leq 1/n \\ i=1,2,\dots,r}} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_u^{\{r\}} T_n \right\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r(T_n, 1/n)_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r(T_n, 1/n)_{p,\omega}$$

as required. \square

For our characterization theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(a) *If $f(x) = \cos x$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then there exist a $t_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p,\omega} \approx \delta^{2r}$$

holds for all $\delta \in (0, t_1]$, where constants are depend only r and $A[p]$.

(b) *If $f(x) = \cos nx$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then for any $\delta \in (0, \pi/n)$ we have*

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_p \leq (2\pi)^{1/p} 6^{-2r} (n\delta)^{2r}$$

with $(1/\infty) := 0$.

(c) *If $f(x) = \cos nx$, then*

$$\|B_{\pi/n}^r f\|_1 = 4.$$

(d) *If $f(x) = \cos nx$, then for any $\delta \in (0, \pi/n)$*

$$\|B_\delta^r f\|_1 \geq 2^{r+2} 3^{-r} \pi^{-2r} (n\delta)^{2r}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. (a) Let $f(x) = \cos x$ and $\delta \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} &= \sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_u^{\{r\}}(\cos x) \right\|_{p, \omega} \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin h_1}{h_1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sin h_{[r]}}{h_{[r]}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\sin u}{u}\right)^{\{r\}} \cos x \right\|_{p, \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x}\right) \leq 6^{-1} x^2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} &\leq \sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} 6^{-2r} h_1^2 \cdots h_{[r]}^2 u^{2\{r\}} \|\cos x\|_{p, \omega} \\ &\lesssim 6^{-2r} \delta^{2r} \|\cos x\|_{\infty} \lesssim 6^{-2r} \delta^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x}\right) \geq (2/3) \sin^2(x/2), \quad x > 0,$$

and

$$\sin x \geq (2/\pi) x, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \pi/2,$$

we get, for $0 \leq \delta \leq \pi/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin h_1}{h_1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sin h_{[r]}}{h_{[r]}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\sin u}{u}\right)^{\{r\}} \cos x \right\|_{p, \omega} \\ &\geq \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin h}{h}\right)^r \cos x \right\|_{p, \omega} \geq \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin \delta}{\delta}\right)^r \cos x \right\|_{p, \omega} \\ &\geq (2/3)^r (2/\pi)^{2r} \delta^{2r} 2^{-2r} \|\cos x\|_{p, \omega} \gtrsim (1/6)^r (2/\pi)^{2r} \delta^{2r} \|\cos x\|_1 \\ &\gtrsim 4 \cdot (1/6)^r (2/\pi)^{2r} \delta^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking $t_1 = \pi/2$, the proof of (a) is completed.

(b) Let $f(x) = \cos nx$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\delta \in (0, \pi/n)$ and $h_1, \dots, h_{[r]}, u \in [0, \delta]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_p &= \sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} B_{h_i} B_u^{\{r\}} \cos nx \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin nh_1}{nh_1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sin nh_{[r]}}{nh_{[r]}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\sin nu}{nu}\right)^{\{r\}} \cos nx \right\|_p \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq u, h_i \leq \delta} 6^{-2r} n^{2r} h_1^2 \cdots h_{[r]}^2 u^{2\{r\}} \|\cos nx\|_p \\ &\leq 6^{-2r} (n\delta)^{2r} \|1\|_p \leq (2\pi)^{1/p} 6^{-2r} \delta^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let $f(x) = \cos nx$. Then

$$\|B_{\pi/n}^r \cos nx\|_1 = \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin \pi}{\pi}\right)^r \cos nx \right\|_1 = \|\cos nx\|_1 = 4.$$

(d) Let $f(x) = \cos nx$ and $\delta \in (0, \pi/n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\delta^r f\|_1 &= \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\sin n\delta}{n\delta}\right)^r \cos nx \right\|_1 \\ &\geq (2/3)^r (2/\pi)^{2r} (n\delta)^{2r} 2^{-2r} \|\cos nx\|_1 = 2^{r+2} 3^{-r} \pi^{-2r} (n\delta)^{2r} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 3 AND 4

Proof of Theorem 3. Part (a): We define

$$\varphi(t) := t^{2r} \inf_{0 < \zeta \leq t} \frac{\Omega_r(f, \zeta)_{p, \omega}}{\zeta^{2r}}, \quad t > 0.$$

It is easy to see that ([29]) the function φ satisfies the properties (i) $\varphi(t)$ is nonnegative, bounded on $(0, \infty)$, (ii) $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, (iii) $\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing, (iv) $\varphi(t)t^{-2r}$ is non-increasing. Hence $\varphi \in \Phi_{2r}$. From definition we have

$$\varphi(t) = t^{2r} \inf_{0 < \zeta \leq t} \frac{\Omega_r(f, \zeta)_{p, \omega}}{\zeta^{2r}} \leq t^{2r} \frac{\Omega_r(f, t)_{p, \omega}}{t^{2r}} = \Omega_r(f, t)_{p, \omega}.$$

Also

$$\Omega_r(f, t)_{p, \omega} = t^{2r} \frac{\Omega_r(f, t)_{p, \omega}}{t^{2r}}$$

and taking infimum we get

$$\Omega_r(f, t)_{p, \omega} \lesssim t^{2r} \inf_{0 < \zeta \leq t} \frac{\Omega_r(f, \zeta)_{p, \omega}}{\zeta^{2r}} = c\varphi(t).$$

Part (b): Following some ideas from [31] we will consider the following two possibility. The first is (A) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\varphi(t)/t^{2r}) = C \in [0, \infty)$ and the second is (B) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\varphi(t)/t^{2r}) = \infty$.

We consider the case (A). In this case from definition of Φ_{2r} and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\varphi(t)/t^{2r}) = C$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(t) \lesssim t^{2r}, \quad \text{for } t \in (0, \pi)$$

and there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(t) \geq Ct^{2r}, \quad \text{for } t \in (0, t_0].$$

Now we define $f(x) = C \cos x$. Then $f \in L^p_\omega$. Using (a) of Lemma 3, we have that there is a $t_1 > 0$ and constants $c, C > 0$, depending only r and $A[p]$, such that

$$c\delta^{2r} \leq \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \lesssim \delta^{2r}$$

for all $\delta \in (0, t_1]$. Hence using (3.1) and (3.2)

$$c\varphi(t) \leq \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \lesssim \varphi(t)$$

for $\delta \in (0, t_2]$, where $t_2 := \min\{\pi, t_0, t_1\}$. We have been proved the case (A).

We consider the case (B). From $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\varphi(t)/t^{2r}) = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) = 0$ we obtain that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (t^{2r}/\varphi(t)) = 0$. We take $a \geq 2$ and fix it. Later we will state the exact condition on a when necessary. Following the construction given in [25] and [31], it is possible to find a sequence $\{n_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ such that $n_v = 2^{m_v}$, $m_1 = 2$ and

$$m_{v+1} := \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi(2^{-m})}{\varphi(2^{-m_v})}, \frac{2^{2m_v r} \varphi(2^{-m_v})}{2^{2m r} \varphi(2^{-m})} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{a} \right\}.$$

From this construction we have $m_{v+1} > m_v$, $n_{v+1} \geq 2n_v$ ($v = 1, 2, \dots$) and

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{v+1}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{a}\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right),$$

$$(3.4) \quad n_v^{2r}\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \leq \frac{1}{a}n_{v+1}^{2r}\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{v+1}}\right).$$

We take $\chi = 2^l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi > 2\pi$ and fix χ . Hence

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_1}\right) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{v-1} < +\infty.$$

We define

$$f(x) := \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \cos(\chi n_v x).$$

Then $f \in L^p_\omega$ (in fact $f \in L^\infty$). From (1.3) we get $\|f\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty$ and hence $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r(f, \delta)_\infty$. If we prove $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_\infty \lesssim \varphi(\delta)$ we will have the lower estimate of Theorem 3 (b). Let $\delta \in (0, 1/n_1]$. For any $h_i \in (0, 1/n_1]$ with $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, [r] + 1$ there are natural numbers N_i such that $n_{N_i+1}^{-1} < h_i \leq n_{N_i}^{-1}$. We set $h_{\max} := \max\{h_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, [r] + 1\}$. Then there is a $i_0 \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, [r] + 1\}$ such that $h_{\max} = h_{i_0}$. Also we set $N_{\max} := N_{i_0}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} f \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{N_{\max}} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \left((I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} \right) \cos(\chi n_v x) \right\|_\infty \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{v=N_{\max}+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \left((I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} \right) \cos(\chi n_v x) \right\|_\infty \\ & =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I_1 . Using (b) of Lemma 3, (3.4) and (d) of the definition of Φ_r

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \sum_{v=1}^{N_{\max}} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \left\| \left((I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} \right) \cos(\chi n_v x) \right\|_\infty \\ & \lesssim \sum_{v=1}^{N_{\max}} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) (\chi n_v)^{2r} h_1^2 \dots h_{[r]}^2 h_{[r]+1}^{2\{r\}} \lesssim (\chi h_{\max})^{2r} \sum_{v=1}^{N_{\max}} n_v^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \\ & \lesssim (\chi h_{\max})^{2r} n_{N_{\max}}^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{N_{\max}}}\right) \sum_{v=1}^{N_{\max}} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{N_{\max}-v} \\ & \lesssim (h_{\max} n_{N_{\max}})^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{N_{\max}}}\right) \lesssim \varphi(h_{\max}). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I_2 . Using (1.10) and (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq \sum_{v=N_{\max}+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \left\| \left((I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} \right) \cos(\chi n_v x) \right\|_\infty \\ & \lesssim \sum_{v=N_{\max}+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \lesssim \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{N_{\max}+1}}\right) \sum_{v=N_{\max}+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{v-N_{\max}-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_{N_{\max}+1}} \right) \lesssim \varphi(h_{\max}).$$

Now for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, [r] + 1$ we have $n_{N_i+1}^{-1} < h_i \leq n_{N_i}^{-1}$ and

$$\left\| (I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} f \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \varphi(h_{\max}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{\infty} &= \sup_{\substack{0 \leq h_i \leq \delta \\ i=1, \dots, [r]+1}} \left\| (I - \sigma_{h_1}) \dots (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]}}) (I - \sigma_{h_{[r]+1}})^{\{r\}} f \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varphi(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \lesssim \varphi(\delta)$. For reverse of the last inequality we will use $\|f\|_1 \lesssim \|f\|_{p, \omega}$ (see for example (1.3)). We will prove

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_1 \gtrsim \varphi(\delta)$$

and this will give the inequality $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p, \omega} \gtrsim \varphi(\delta)$. We choose an integer i such that $n_{i+1}^{-1} = 2^{-m_{i+1}} < \delta \leq 2^{-m_i} = n_i^{-1}$. From the definition of m_i at least one of the following conditions hold.

$$(3.5) \quad 2^{2r(m_{i+1}-1)} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2^{m_{i+1}-1}} \right) < a 2^{2r m_i} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2^{m_i}} \right),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2^{m_{i+1}-1}} \right) > \frac{1}{a} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2^{m_i}} \right).$$

For the first case (3.5), we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_v} \right) \cos(\chi n_v x) + \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \right) \cos(\chi n_i x) + \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_v} \right) \cos(\chi n_v x) \\ &=: f_1 + f_2 + f_3. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate f_2 . For $\frac{1}{\chi n_i} < \delta < \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}$, using (d) of Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_{\delta})^r f_2\|_1 &= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \right) \|(I - \sigma_{\delta})^r \cos(\chi n_i x)\|_1 \\ &\geq \frac{2^{r+2}}{3^r \pi^{2r}} (\chi \delta)^{2r} n_i^{2r} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate f_1 . For $\frac{1}{\chi n_i} < \delta < \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}$, using (b) of Lemma 3 and (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_{\delta})^r f_1\|_1 &\leq \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_v} \right) \|(I - \sigma_{\delta})^r \cos(\chi n_v x)\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{6^{2r}} (\chi \delta)^{2r} \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} n_v^{2r} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_v} \right) \leq \frac{4}{6^{2r}} (\chi \delta)^{2r} n_{i-1}^{2r} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_{i-1}} \right) \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{i-1-v} \\ &\leq \frac{4 \cdot 2}{6^{2r}} (\chi \delta)^{2r} n_{i-1}^{2r} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_{i-1}} \right) \leq \frac{4 \cdot 2}{6^{2r} a} (\chi \delta)^{2r} n_i^{2r} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate f_3 . For $\frac{1}{\chi n_i} < \delta < \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}$, using (1.10), $C_r \leq 2^{[r]+1}$, (3.3), $1 < \delta \chi n_i$ and $\|\cos(\chi n_v x)\|_1 = 4$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f_3\|_1 &\leq \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r \cos(\chi n_v x)\|_1 \\ &\leq 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right) \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{v-i-1} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right) \leq \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1}}{a} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1}}{a} (\chi n_i \delta)^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $\frac{1}{\chi n_i} < \delta < \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f\|_1 &\geq \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f_2\|_1 - \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f_1\|_1 - \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f_3\|_1 \\ &\geq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) (\chi n_i \delta)^{2r} \left(\frac{2^{r+2}}{3^r \pi^{2r}} - \frac{4 \cdot 2}{6^{2r} a} - \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1}}{a} \right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) (\chi n_i \delta)^{2r} 4 \left(\frac{2^r}{3^r \pi^{2r}} - \frac{1}{6^{2r} a} - \frac{2^{[r]+1}}{a} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We choose a such a way that $a \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^r}{3^r \pi^{2r}} - \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{1}{6^{2r}} + 2^{[r]+1} \right) &> 0, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\pi^{2r+1}}{6^{2r}} + 2 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \right) &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i} < \frac{1}{n_{i+1}} < \frac{1}{n_i}$, we get for $\frac{1}{\chi n_i} < \delta < \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}$

$$\|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f\|_1 \gtrsim (\chi n_i \delta)^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \gtrsim \varphi(\delta).$$

Thus for $0 < \delta < \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_1 &\geq \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_1 \geq \sup_{\chi^{-1} n_i^{-1} < h \leq \delta} \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_1 \\ &\geq \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f\|_1 \gtrsim \varphi(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

In case $\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i} \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{n_i}$ we get

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_1 \geq \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}\right)_1.$$

If we prove

$$(3.7) \quad \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}\right)_1 \gtrsim \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right)$$

then this will give the proof of the case (3.5) completely.

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_1 \geq \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}\right)_1 \gtrsim \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \gtrsim \varphi(\delta).$$

Now we prove (3.7). Since

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \cos(\chi n_v x) + \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \cos(\chi n_i x) + \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \cos(\chi n_v x) \\ &= f_1 + f_2 + f_3 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f \right\|_1 \geq \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_2 \right\|_1 - \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_1 \right\|_1 - \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_3 \right\|_1.$$

Using (c) of Lemma 3

$$\left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_2 \right\|_1 = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r \cos(\chi n_i x) \right\|_1 = 4\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right).$$

By (b) of Lemma 3, $\sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{i-1-v} \leq 2$ and (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_1 \right\|_1 &\leq \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r \cos(\chi n_v x) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{6^{2r}} \left(\chi \frac{\pi}{\chi n_i} \right)^{2r} \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} n_v^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{6^{2r}} \left(\frac{\pi}{n_i} \right)^{2r} \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} n_v^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{6^{2r}} \left(\frac{\pi}{n_i} \right)^{2r} n_{i-1}^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i-1}}\right) \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{i-1-v} \\ &\leq \frac{4\pi}{6^{2r}} \left(\frac{\pi}{n_i} \right)^{2r} n_{i-1}^{2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i-1}}\right) \leq \frac{4\pi^{2r+1}}{6^{2r} a} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (1.10), $C_r \leq 2^{[r]+1}$, (3.3) and $\|\cos(\chi n_v x)\|_1 = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_3 \right\|_1 &\leq \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r \cos(\chi n_v x) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_v}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right) \sum_{v=i+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{v-i-1} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right) \leq \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1}}{a} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f \right\|_1 &\geq \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_2 \right\|_1 - \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_1 \right\|_1 - \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{\pi}{\chi n_i}} \right)^r f_3 \right\|_1 \\ &\geq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \left(4 - \frac{4\pi^{2r+1}}{6^{2r} a} - \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{[r]+1}}{a} \right) \\ &= 4\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\pi^{2r+1}}{6^{2r}} + 2^{[r]+2} \right) \right) = c(r) \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and we proved (3.7). We prove the remaining case (3.6). Since $\varphi(t) t^{-2r} \downarrow$ we have

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^{m_{i+1}-1}}\right) \leq 2^{-2r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^{m_{i+1}}}\right)$$

and hence

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right) > \frac{2^{2r}}{a} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right).$$

Using the last inequality and (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_1 &\geq \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right)_1 \geq \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\chi^{n_{i+1}}}\right)_1 \gtrsim \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\right) \\ &\gtrsim \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) \gtrsim \varphi(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is completed. \square

It is well known that the class of trigonometric polynomials is a dense (see e.g., [18, Lemma 3]) subset of L^p_ω , $1 < p < \infty$ for any weight $\omega \in A_p$. Hence the approximation problems are make sense in L^p_ω for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$.

The following Jackson type theorem relates the best approximation error $E_n(f)_{p,\omega}$ with the modulus of smoothness $\Omega_r(f, n^{-1})_{p,\omega}$.

Theorem 5 ([12, 2]). *If $r > 0$, $f \in L^p_\omega$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then*

$$E_n(f)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p,\omega}$$

holds for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with some constant depending only on r and p .

This theorem was proved in [12] for the integer order case, in [1, 2] for the fractional case. We note that integer order case was considered in a more general setting in the case of weighted Orlicz spaces [4, 16, 17].

Proof of Theorem 4. We prove (1.11). Let T_n be the near best approximating trigonometric polynomial to f . From Theorem 5

$$\|f - T_n\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim E_n(f)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p,\omega}.$$

Thus using Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} &\lesssim \Omega_r(T_n, 1/n)_{p,\omega} \\ &\lesssim \Omega_r(T_n - f, 1/n)_{p,\omega} + \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{p,\omega} \\ &\lesssim \|f - T_n\|_{p,\omega} + \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{p,\omega} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f - T_n\|_{p,\omega} + \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{p,\omega}.$$

On the other hand from Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{p,\omega} &\leq \Omega_r(f - T_n, 1/n)_{p,\omega} + \Omega_r(T_n, 1/n)_{p,\omega} \\ &\lesssim \|f - T_n\|_{p,\omega} + \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{p,\omega} = R_{2r}(f, 1/n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (1.11) is proved. The proof of the equivalence (1.12) follows from properties of modulus of smoothness, K -functional and the following lemma. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$, $f \in L^p_\omega$ and $\beta > 0$ be a real number. Then for any $0 < t < 2$, the following inequality is hold*

$$\Omega_\beta(f, t)_{p,\omega} \lesssim t^{2\beta} \|f^{(2\beta)}\|_{p,\omega}$$

with some constant depending only on β and $A[p]$.

Proof of Lemma 4. Since $0 < t < 2$ there exists some $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ so that $(1/n) < t \leq (2/n)$ holds. Using Lemma 1 we have

$$(3.8) \quad \Omega_\beta(f, t)_{p,\omega} \leq \Omega_\beta(f - T_n, t)_{p,\omega} + \Omega_\beta(T_n, t)_{p,\omega} \lesssim E_n(f)_{p,\omega} + t^{2\beta} \|T_n^{(2\beta)}\|_{p,\omega}.$$

On the other hand using Theorem 1 of [4] and Theorem 5 we get

$$(3.9) \quad E_n(f)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2\beta}} E_n(f^{(2\beta)})_{p,\omega} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2\beta}} \Omega_\beta(f^{(2\beta)}, 1/n)_{p,\omega} \lesssim t^{2\beta} \|f^{(2\beta)}\|_{p,\omega}$$

and the result follows from (3.8), (3.9), Theorem 1 of [3] and Theorem 5. \square

Acknowledgments The paper was written while the author was staying at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM), Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain. The author expresses his thanks to Prof. Sergey Tikhonov for posing the problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Akgün, *Sharp Jackson and converse theorems of trigonometric approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 152 (2010), 1–18.
- [2] —, *Polynomial approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, East J. Approx., 17 (2011), no. 3, 253–266.
- [3] R. Akgün and D. M. Israfilov, *Simultaneous and converse approximation theorems in weighted Orlicz spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 17 (2010), no. 1, 13–28.
- [4] —, —, *Approximation in weighted Orlicz spaces*, Math. Slovaca, 61 (2011), no. 4, 601–618.
- [5] O. V. Besov and S. B. Stechkin, *A description of the moduli of continuity in L_2* , Tr. MIAN SSSR, 134, 1975, 23–25, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 134 (1977), 27–30.
- [6] Y. S. Bugrov, *Fractional difference operators and function classes*, (Russian) Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 172 (1985), 60–70.
- [7] P. L. Butzer, H. Dyckhoff, E. Görlich and R. L. Stens, *Best trigonometric approximation, fractional order derivatives and Lipschitz classes*, Canad. J. Math., 29 (1977), no. 4, 781–793.
- [8] P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens and M. Wehrens, *Approximation by algebraic convolution integrals*, Approximation theory and functional analysis, Proc. Internat. Sympos. Approximation Theory, Univ. Estadual de Campinas, 1977, pp. 71–120.
- [9] F. Dai, Z. Ditzian and S. Tikhonov, *Sharp Jackson inequalities*, J. Approx. Theory 151 (2008), no. 1, 86–112.
- [10] Z. Ditzian, V. H. Hristov and K. G. Ivanov, *Moduli of smoothness and K -functionals in L^p , $0 < p < 1$* , Constr. Approx., 11 (1995), no. 1, 67–83.
- [11] Z. Ditzian and S. Tikhonov, *Ul’yanov and Nikol’skii-type inequalities*, J. Approx. Theory 133 (2005), no. 1, 100–133.
- [12] E. A. Gadjieva, *Investigation of the properties of functions with quasimonotone Fourier coefficients in generalized Nikol’skii-Besov spaces*, author’s summary of dissertation, Tbilisi, 1986, (In Russian).
- [13] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 116, Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 104, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [14] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 176 (1973), 227–251.
- [15] D. M. Israfilov, *Approximation by p -Faber polynomials in the weighted Smirnov class $E^p(G, \omega)$ and the Bieberbach polynomials*, Constr. Approx. 17 (2001), 335–351.
- [16] D. M. Israfilov and A. Guven, *Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in weighted Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math. 174 (2006), no. 2, 147–168.
- [17] S. Z. Jafarov, *On moduli of smoothness in Orlicz classes*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb., 33 (2010), 95–100.
- [18] M. Khabazi, *The mean convergence of trigonometric Fourier series in weighted Orlicz classes*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 129 (2002), 65–75.
- [19] Y. S. Kolomoitsev, *On moduli of smoothness and K -functionals of fractional order in Hardy spaces*, (Russian) Ukr. Mat. Visn. 8 (2011), no. 3, 421–446, 462; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 181 (2012), no. 1, 78–97.
- [20] D. S. Kurtz, *Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theorems on weighted L_p spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 259 (1980), no. 1, 235–254.

- [21] N. X. Ky, *Moduli of mean smoothness and approximation with A_p -weights*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math., 40 (1997), 37–48 (1998).
- [22] —, *On approximation by trigonometric polynomials in L_u^p -spaces*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 28 (1993), no. 1-2, 183-188.
- [23] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 167 (1972), 207-226.
- [24] S. Nikolsky, *La serie de Fourier d'une fonction dont le module de continuite est donne*, DAN SSSR, 52 (1946), 191-194.
- [25] K. I. Oskolkov, *Estimation of the rate of approximation of a continuous functions and its conjugate by Fourier sums on a set of full measure*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Mat. 38 (1974), 1393-1407.
- [26] T. V. Radoslavova, *Decrease orders of the L_p -moduli of continuity ($0 < p < \infty$)*, Anal. Math. 5 (1979), no. 3, 219-234.
- [27] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and applications*, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.
- [28] B. Simonov and S. Tikhonov, *Embedding theorems in the constructive theory of approximations*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. 199 (2008), no. 9, 107-148; translation in Sb. Math. 199 (2008), no. 9-10, 1367-1407.
- [29] S. B. Stechkin, *On absolute convergence of Fourier series II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Mat. 19 (1955), no:4 , 221-246.
- [30] R. Taberski, *Differences, moduli and derivatives of fractional orders*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat., 19 (1976/77), no. 2, 389-400.
- [31] S. Tikhonov, *On moduli of smoothness of fractional order*, Real Anal. Exchange 30 (2004/2005), no:2, 1-12.
- [32] R. M. Trigub and E. S. Bellinsky, *Fourier analysis and approximation of functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [33] M. Wehrens, *Best approximation on the unit sphere in R^k* , Functional analysis and approximation, Oberwolfach, 1980, pp. 233-245.

BALIKESIR UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DEPARTAMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ÇAĞIŞ YERLEŞKESİ, 10145
BALIKESİR, TÜRKİYE
AND
CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMTICA (CRM)
CAMPUS DE BELLATERRA EDIFICI C
08193 BELLATERRA
BARCELONA, SPAIN
WWW.CRM.CAT
E-mail address: rakgun@balikesir.edu.tr

