

# EMBEDDING THEOREMS OF FUNCTION CLASSES, IV

SERGEY TIKHONOV

ABSTRACT. We study the interrelation between the strong class  $S_p(\lambda)$  and the Nikol'skii class  $W^r H_\beta^\omega$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f(x)$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous function and let

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \quad (1)$$

be its Fourier series. The modulus of smoothness of order  $\beta$  ( $\beta > 0$ ) of a function  $f \in C$  is given by

$$\omega_\beta(f, t) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \left\| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \binom{\beta}{\nu} f(x + (\beta - \nu)h) \right\|,$$

where  $\binom{\beta}{\nu} = \frac{\beta(\beta-1)\dots(\beta-\nu+1)}{\nu!}$  for  $\nu \geq 1$ ,  $\binom{\beta}{\nu} = 1$  for  $\nu = 0$  and  $\|f(\cdot)\| = \max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(x)|$ .

Denote by  $S_n(x) = S_n(f, x)$  the  $n$ -th partial sum of (1). Let  $E_n(f)$  be the best approximation of  $f(x)$  by trigonometric polynomials of order  $n$  and let  $f^{(r)}$  be the derivative of the function  $f$  of order  $r > 0$  ( $f^{(0)} := f$ ) in the sense of Weyl.

We will write  $I_1 \ll I_2$ , if there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $I_1 \leq C I_2$ . If  $I_1 \ll I_2$  and  $I_2 \ll I_1$  hold simultaneously, then we will write  $I_1 \asymp I_2$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A24, 42A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Strong approximation, Fourier series, Embedding theorems.

The research was funded by an EU Marie Curie fellowship (Contract MIF1-CT-2004-509465). The paper was partially written while the author was staying at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM) in Barcelona, Spain. He is grateful to the staff of CRM for their hospitality.

A sequence  $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$  of positive terms will be called almost increasing (almost decreasing), if there exists a constant  $K := K(\gamma) \geq 1$  such that

$$K\gamma_n \geq \gamma_m \quad (\gamma_n \leq K\gamma_m)$$

holds for any  $n \geq m$ .

Let  $\Omega_\beta$  be the set of nondecreasing continuous functions on  $[0, 2\pi]$  such that  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\omega(\delta)$  is nondecreasing and  $\delta^{-\beta}\omega(\delta)$  is nonincreasing. Define the following function classes:

$$W^r H_\beta^\omega = \left\{ f \in C : \omega_\beta(f^{(r)}, \delta) = O[\omega(\delta)] \right\},$$

$$S_p(\lambda) = \left\{ f \in C : \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu |f(x) - S_\nu(x)|^p \right\| < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\omega(\delta) \in \Omega_\beta$ ,  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of positive numbers,  $r \in [0, \infty)$ , and  $\beta, p \in (0, \infty)$ . We also define  $H_\beta^\omega := W^0 H_\beta^\omega$ .

We say that the sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition if

$$\lambda_n \asymp \lambda_k \quad \text{for} \quad n \leq k \leq 2n. \quad (2)$$

We will need the following

*Definition.* The sequence of positive numbers  $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be *general monotone*, or  $a \in GM$ , if the relation

$$\sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} |a_\nu - a_{\nu+1}| \leq C a_n$$

holds for all integer  $n$ , where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $n$ .

It was proved in [11] that  $a \in GM$  if and only if  $a$  satisfies

$$a_\nu \leq C a_n \quad \text{for} \quad n \leq \nu \leq 2n \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n}^N |\Delta a_k| \leq C \left( a_n + \sum_{k=n+1}^N \frac{a_k}{k} \right) \quad \text{for any} \quad n < N. \quad (4)$$

We remark that

$$M \subsetneq QM \cup RBVS \subsetneq ORVQM \cup RBVS \subsetneq GM,$$

where  $M$  is a class of monotone sequences,  $QM$  is a class of quasi monotone sequences (see [7], [9]),  $ORVQM$  is a class of  $O$ -regularly varying quasi monotone sequences (see [8]), and  $RBVS$  is a class of sequences of rest bounded variation (see [6]).

We define the following two subclasses of  $C$ :

$$C^{\cos} = \left\{ f \in C : f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx, \quad \{a_n\} \in GM \right\},$$

$$C^{\sin} = \left\{ g \in C : g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx, \quad \{a_n\} \in GM \right\}.$$

In this paper we study the interrelation between  $W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega}$  and  $S_p(\lambda)$ . Our investigation continues the findings from the book [3] and the papers [2], [5], [6] of L. Leindler.

## 2. RESULTS

First we study the embedding  $S_p(\lambda) \subset W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega}$ . Related results can be found in [2] and [3].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\beta, p > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_{\beta}$  and let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfy  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition. Suppose*

$$\lambda_n \omega^p \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{1-rp} \geq C. \quad (5)$$

(i). *If  $r > 0$  and  $\omega$  satisfies the conditions*

$$(B) \quad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) = O \left[ \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right],$$

$$(B_{\beta}) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta-1} \omega \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) = O \left[ n^{\beta} \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right],$$

then

$$S_p(\lambda) \subset W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega}. \quad (6)$$

(ii). *If  $r = 0$  and  $\omega$  satisfies the condition  $(B_{\beta})$ , then*

$$S_p(\lambda) \subset H_{\beta}^{\omega}. \quad (7)$$

We note that for certain subclasses of continuous functions the conditions on  $\omega$  can be relaxed.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\beta, p > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_{\beta} \cap B$ . Suppose  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfy  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition and condition (5); then*

$$S_p(\lambda) \cap C^{\cos} \subset W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega} \quad \text{for } r + \beta = 2l - 1, \quad (8)$$

$$S_p(\lambda) \cap C^{\sin} \subset W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega} \quad \text{for } r + \beta = 2l. \quad (9)$$

**Remark 2.3.** Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 provide, in particular, an answer for the following question (see [3]): When does the condition

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{(r+\alpha)p-1} |f(x) - S_{\nu}(x)|^p \right\| < \infty \quad (10)$$

imply the condition

$$\omega_{\beta}(f^{(r)}, \delta) = O[\delta^{\alpha}] ? \quad (11)$$

In particular, the answer is:  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  (by Theorem 2.1), and  $\alpha = \beta$  if  $f \in C^{\cos}$  and  $r + \alpha = 2l - 1$  or if  $f \in C^{\sin}$  and  $r + \alpha = 2l$  (by Theorem 2.2). We note that in general, if  $\alpha = \beta$ , the answer is negative. Indeed, for  $p, \alpha, \beta = 1$ , (10) implies only

$$\omega_{\beta}(f^{(r)}, \delta) = O\left(\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \quad (12)$$

and this result is the best possible (see [3]).

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $\beta > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_{\beta} \cap B$ , and let  $\omega^*(\delta) := \delta^r \omega(\delta)$ . We have

$$W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega} \equiv H_{r+\beta}^{\omega^*} \subset E^{\omega^*} := \left\{ f \in C : E_n(f) = O\left[\omega^*\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right] \right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$C^{\cos} \cap W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega} \equiv C^{\cos} \cap H_{\alpha}^{\omega^*} \equiv C^{\cos} \cap E^{\omega^*}, \quad \text{where } \alpha \geq r + \beta = 2l - 1,$$

and

$$C^{\sin} \cap W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega} \equiv C^{\sin} \cap H_{\alpha}^{\omega^*} \equiv C^{\sin} \cap E^{\omega^*}, \quad \text{where } \alpha \geq r + \beta = 2l.$$

We remark that for some strong classes one can write the embedding into  $W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega}$  without the conditions (B) and  $(B_{\beta})$  on  $\omega$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $\beta, p > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_{\beta}$ . Suppose  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfy  $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$ -condition and condition (5); then

$$\bar{S}_p(\lambda) := \left\{ f \in C : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \left( \sum_{\nu=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} \lambda_{\nu} |f(x) - S_{\nu}(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| < \infty \right\} \subset W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega}. \quad (13)$$

Now we study the converse embedding  $W^r H_{\beta}^{\omega} \subset S_p(\lambda)$ . A useful overview and a history of the question can be found in [3], [5], [6]. There the next Theorem 2.6 was proved for  $r = 0$  and Theorem 2.7 was proved for  $r = 0$  and  $g \in \{g \in C^{\sin} : \{a_n\} \in RBVS\} \subsetneq C^{\sin}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\beta, p > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_\beta$  and let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfy  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition. Suppose*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \omega^p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{n^{rp}} < \infty; \quad (14)$$

then

$$W^r H_\beta^\omega \subset S_p(\lambda). \quad (15)$$

We remark that condition (14) implies

$$\lambda_n \omega^p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{1-rp} \leq C. \quad (16)$$

As in the case of Theorem 2.2, one can assume only this weaker condition if we consider  $C^{sin}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\beta, p > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_\beta$  and let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfy  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition and condition (16). Suppose there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$\{n^{1-\varepsilon} \lambda_n\} \text{ is almost increasing}; \quad (17)$$

then

$$W^r H_\beta^\omega \cap C^{sin} \subset S_p(\lambda). \quad (18)$$

**Remark 2.8.** *In general, condition (16) is not sufficient for embedding (15). Indeed, suppose  $\omega(\delta) = \delta^\alpha$  and  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ ; then there exists a function  $f$  such that  $f \in W^r H_\beta^\omega$  but  $f \notin S_p(\lambda^*)$ , where  $\lambda_n^* = n^{rp-1} \omega^{-p}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$  satisfies (17) (see [3]).*

From Theorems 2.2 and 2.7 and Remark 2.4 we have

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $\beta, p > 0, r \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega_\beta \cap B$ , and let  $\omega^*(\delta) := \delta^r \omega(\delta)$ . Suppose  $\alpha \geq r + \beta = 2l$ ; then*

$$S_p^{sin}(\lambda^*) \equiv C^{sin} \cap W^r H_\beta^\omega \equiv C^{sin} \cap H_\alpha^{\omega^*} \equiv C^{sin} \cap E^{\omega^*},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_p^{sin}(\lambda^*) &:= S_p^{sin}\left(\left\{\frac{\nu^{rp-1}}{\omega^p\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)}\right\}\right) = \\ &= \left\{f \in C^{sin} : \left\|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^{rp-1}}{\omega^p\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} |f(x) - S_\nu(x)|^p\right\| < \infty\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

## 3. PROOFS

We start with the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** ([3, Theorem 8.1]). *Let  $p > 0$  and let  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $\gamma_{2^n} \leq C\gamma_{2^{n+i}}$  ( $C \geq 1, n \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ ). Then*

$$V_n(f, p) := \left\| \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n |f(x) - S_\nu(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \ll \gamma_n \quad (19)$$

implies

$$E_n(f) \ll \gamma_n. \quad (20)$$

**Lemma 2.** ([1]). *If  $f(x) \in C$ , then*

$$\omega_{\beta+r}(f, \delta) \ll \delta^r \omega_\beta(f^{(r)}, \delta) \quad \text{for } r, \beta > 0.$$

**Lemma 3.** ([13]). *If  $f(x) \in C$  has a Fourier series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sin kx, \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

then

$$n^{-\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k \ll \omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{for } \beta \neq 2l, l = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Lemma 4.** ([4]). *Let  $a_n \geq 0, \lambda_n > 0$ .*

(a): *If  $p \geq 1$ , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left( \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} a_\nu \right)^p \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{1-p} a_n^p \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu \right)^p,$$

(b): *If  $0 < p < 1$  and  $a_{\nu+j} \leq K a_\nu$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left( \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} a_\nu \right)^p \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} a_n^p \left( n \lambda_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \lambda_\nu \right).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Since  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfies  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition it is clear that  $f \in S_p(\lambda)$  implies  $V_n(f, p) \ll (n\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ . By Lemma 1 and condition (5), we have

$$E_n(f) \ll (n\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \ll \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-r}.$$

Further we use the conditions (B) and  $(B_\beta)$  and the following inequalities: in the case of  $r > 0$

$$\omega_\beta\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{1}{n}\right) \ll \frac{1}{n^\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{r+\beta-1} E_k(f) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{r-1} E_k(f) \quad (22)$$

and in the case of  $r = 0$

$$\omega_\beta \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \ll \frac{1}{n^\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta-1} E_k(f). \quad (23)$$

Finally, we have  $\omega_\beta \left( f^{(r)}, \frac{1}{n} \right) \ll \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)$ , which finishes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have  $E_n(f) \ll \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-r}$ .

Let  $f \in C^{cos}$ . Then because of  $\sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} a_k \ll E_n(f)$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \ll \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-r}. \quad (24)$$

It was proved in [12] that if  $\omega \in B$  and  $r + \beta = 2l - 1$ , then condition (24) is equivalent to  $f \in W^r H_\beta^\omega$ .

If  $g \in C^{sin}$ , then by inequality (23),  $E_n(f) \ll \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-r}$  gives for  $\beta < \beta_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\beta_1+r} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) &\ll n^{-r-\beta_1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta_1-1} \omega \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \\ &\ll \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-r+\beta-\beta_1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta_1-\beta-1} \ll n^{-r} \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by this, Lemma 3, and inequality (3), we write

$$na_n \ll \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-r}. \quad (25)$$

From [12], if  $\omega \in B$  and  $r + \beta = 2l$ , then condition (25) is equivalent to  $g \in W^r H_\beta^\omega$ . This completes the proof.

**Proof of Remark 2.4** follows from [12] and Lemma 2.

**Proof of Remark 2.5.** Let  $f \in \bar{S}_p(\lambda)$ . Then Lemma 1 of [2] implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{r-1}}{\omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)} E_n(f) < \infty.$$

Therefore because of  $\omega \in \Omega_\beta$ , we write

$$\frac{1}{n^\beta \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{r+\beta-1} E_k(f) + \frac{1}{\omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{r-1} E_k(f) < \infty,$$

and, by (22) and (23), we have  $f \in W^r H_\beta^\omega$ . The proof is now complete.

**Proof of Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f \in W^r H_\beta^\omega$ . By the Jackson inequality and Lemma 2, we have

$$E_n(f) \ll \omega_{\beta+r} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \ll n^{-r} \omega \left( \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Further, we use the following important result of Leindler [3, Theorem 8.2, p. 32 and (2.75), p.65]

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} |S_\nu - f|^p \ll E_n^p(f), \quad p > 0, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then this inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu |f(x) - S_\nu(x)|^p &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \lambda_{2^n} E_{2^n}^p(f) \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-rp)} \lambda_{2^n} \omega^p\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \omega^p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{n^{rp}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (14) implies (15). The proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f \in W^r H_\beta^\omega \cap C^{sin}$ . By Lemmas 2 and 3 and inequality (3), we get

$$na_n \ll \omega_{\beta+r}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \ll n^{-r} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

This and (16) give

$$a_n^p \ll \frac{1}{n^{1+p} \lambda_n}. \quad (26)$$

Let us prove that (26) and  $\{a_n\} \in GM$  imply  $f \in S_p(\lambda)$ .

First we note that for  $x > 0$  one has

$$\left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \sin kx \right| \ll \frac{1}{x} \left( a_n + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right). \quad (27)$$

Using Abel's transformation, (27) follows immediately from  $\left| \tilde{D}_k(x) \right| \equiv \left| \sum_{n=1}^k \sin nx \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$  and inequality (4).

Let now  $x > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\frac{\pi}{N+1} < x \leq \frac{\pi}{N}$ . Then

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu |f(x) - S_\nu(x)|^p = \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N + \sum_{\nu=N+1}^{\infty} \right) \lambda_\nu |f(x) - S_\nu(x)|^p =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Using (27), we write

$$I_2 \ll N^p \sum_{\nu=N}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu a_\nu^p + N^p \sum_{\nu=N}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \left( \sum_{k=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right)^p =: I_{21} + I_{22}.$$



By (26), we have

$$I_{21} \ll N^p \sum_{\nu=N}^{\infty} \lambda_{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu^{1+p} \lambda_{\nu}} \ll C.$$

To estimate  $I_{22}$ , we note that if  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfies  $\Delta_2^2$ -condition, then condition (17) is equivalent to the following condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \ll n \lambda_n. \quad (28)$$

If  $p \geq 1$ , then by Lemma 4(a) and condition (28)

$$I_{22} \ll N^p \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_k}{k}\right)^p \lambda_k^{1-p} \left(\sum_{\nu=N}^k \lambda_{\nu}\right)^p \ll I_{21} \ll C.$$

If  $0 < p < 1$ , then we use Lemma 4(b):

$$I_{22} \ll N^p \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^{p-1} \left(\frac{a_k}{k}\right)^p \left(k \lambda_k + \sum_{\nu=N}^k \lambda_{\nu}\right) \ll I_{21} \ll C.$$

Now let us estimate  $I_1$ .

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_{\nu} \left| \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{N-1} a_k \sin kx \right|^p + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_{\nu} \left| \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} a_k \sin kx \right|^p =: I_{11} + I_{12}.$$

By (27), we have

$$I_{12} \ll N^p \sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_{\nu} \left[ a_N^p + \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right)^p \right].$$

Because of  $\{n^{1-\varepsilon} \lambda_n\}$  is almost increasing, one can write

$$\left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right)^p \ll \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 (k \lambda_k)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^p \ll \frac{1}{N^{1-\varepsilon} \lambda_N} \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2+\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}} \right)^p \ll \frac{1}{N^{1+p} \lambda_N}.$$

Hence, we get

$$I_{12} \ll N^{1+p} \lambda_N \left[ a_N^p + \frac{1}{N^{1+p} \lambda_N} \right] \ll C.$$

To estimate  $I_{11}$ , we write

$$I_{11} \ll x^p \sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_{\nu} \left( \sum_{k=\nu}^{N-1} k a_k \right)^p.$$

Using inequality (28) and Lemma 4(a) for the case  $p \geq 1$  and Lemma 4(b) for the case  $0 < p < 1$ , we have

$$I_{11} \ll N^{-p} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \nu^{2p} \lambda_{\nu} a_{\nu}^p \ll N^{-p} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \nu^{p-1} \ll C.$$

Thus, collecting estimates for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , we obtain  $f \in S_p(\lambda)$ . The proof of Theorem 2.7 is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.L. Butzer, H. Dyckhoff, E. Göerlich, R.L. Stens, *Best trigonometric approximation, fractional order derivatives and Lipschitz classes* // *Can. J. Math.* **29**, 781–793, 1977.
- [2] L. Leindler, *Additional results on the strong approximation of Fourier series* // *Analysis Math.*, **10**, no. 2, 1984, 111–116.
- [3] L. Leindler, *Strong approximation by Fourier series*, Budapest. 1985.
- [4] L. Leindler, *Some inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood type* // *Analysis Math.*, **20**, No 2, 1994, 95–106.
- [5] L. Leindler, *Embedding results pertaining to strong approximation of Fourier series. II* // *Analysis Math.*, **23**, 1997, 223–240.
- [6] L. Leindler, *Embedding results pertaining to strong approximation of Fourier series. III* // *Analysis Math.*, **23**, No 4, 1997, 273–281.
- [7] S. M. Shah, A note on quasi-monotone series // *Math. Student*, 15 (1947), 19–24.
- [8] V. B. Stanojevic,  $L^1$ -convergence of Fourier series with  $O$ -regularly varying quasi-monotonic coefficients // *J. Approx. Theory* **60** (1990), no. 2, 168–173.
- [9] O. Szász, Quasi-monotone series // *Amer. J. Math.* **70** (1948), 203–206.
- [10] R. Taberski, *Differences, moduli and derivatives of fractional orders* // *Commentat. Math. V.* **19**, 1976–1977, 389–400.
- [11] S. Tikhonov, *Trigonometric series with general monotone coefficients*, submitted.
- [12] S. Tikhonov, *Trigonometric series of Nikol'skii classes*, to appear in *Acta Math. Hungar.*
- [13] S. Tikhonov, *On two theorem of Lorentz* // *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat.* **69**, 1, 2005, 165–178, translation from *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* **69** (1), 2005, 163–175.

CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMATICA (CRM)  
 BELLATERRA (BARCELONA) E-08193, SPAIN  
 e-mail: stikhonov@crm.es