ON INTEGRAL MODELS OF ALGEBRAIC TORI AND AFFINE TORIC VARIETIES

B.È. KUNYAVSKIĬ AND B.Z. MOROZ

To the memory of Albrecht Fröhlich

§1. Introduction

In a joint work with V.E. Voskresenskiĭ [14], an explicit construction of a natural integral model of an algebraic torus, defined over a number field, has been described; in [13], we have constructed a few integral models of the affine toric varieties associated to such a torus. Inspired by a paper of A. Fröhlich's [7], we have conjectured [14] that for any number field k there is a sufficiently big finite normal extension $L \mid k$ having an integral basis over k, and pointed out that our constructions of integral models could be considerably simplified under this conjecture [14]. In the meantime M. V. Bondarko [2] has proved the conjecture. One of the goals of this paper is to describe the arising simplifications in some detail. Our second goal is to restore a few details of our argument and notation, left to the reader in [13] and [14]. We do not dwell on the applications of integral models here; some of the applications have been discussed in [13], [14] (cf. also [5]).

In the next section we shall collect a few results and definitions, relating to the theory of algebraic tori and affine toric varieties defined over an arbitrary field of characteristic 0. Although both our definition of an affine T - toric variety and our Theorem 1 may be known to some authors, we could not find a proof of that theorem in the literature. After the proper terminology has been established, it is a relatively straightforward matter to construct our "standard" model of an algebraic torus T defined over a number field, and the corresponding models of the T-toric varieties. This is done in Section 3.

Notation and conventions. As usual, $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbf{F}_p$ stand for the field of rational numbers, the ring of rational integers, the monoid of non-negative rational integers, and the finite field of p elements respectively. The algebraic closure of a field k and the degree of a finite extension of fields $L \mid k$ are denoted, respectively, by $\bar{k}$ and $[L : k]$. Given a commutative ring A, let A^* stand for the group of invertible elements in A, and let $G_{m,A}$

and $G_{a,A}$ denote the multiplicative and the additive groups defined over A respectively. Assuming the group G acts on a set S, let

$$S^G := \{a \mid \ a \in S,\ g \cdot a = a \text{ for } g \in G\}$$

stand for the subset of the fixed points under that action. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}_{lm} = \{c \ \mid \ c = (c_{ij}),\ c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \le i \le l,\ 1 \le j \le m\}$$

stand for the (additive) group of the integral matrices with l rows and m columns, and let I_d denote the unit matrix in $\mathfrak{M}_{dd}$. The elements of an Abelian group $H = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ are sometimes denoted by $\mathbf{a}$ or by $\vec{\alpha}$; we write then

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n),\ \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n),\ a_i \in H_i,\ \alpha_i \in H_i,\ 1 \le i \le n.$$

For $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, let

$$b^+ := \frac{1}{2}\,(|b| + b),\ b^- := \frac{1}{2}\,(|b| - b).$$

As usual, "h.c.f." stands for "the highest common factor"; a *number field* is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. In this paper, we tend to identify isomorphic objects, whenever possible.

§2. Affine toric varieties

1. Let T be an algebraic torus of dimension d defined over a field k of characteristic zero. The torus T *splits* over $\bar{k}$, so that

$$T \times_k \bar{k} \cong G^d_{m,\bar{k}}\,.$$

The projections

$$\chi_i \colon T \times_k \bar{k} \to G_{m,\bar{k}},\ 1 \le i \le d, \tag{1}$$

are defined over a finite normal extension $L \mid k$; we call any such field L a *splitting field* of the torus T. Projections (1) generate a free Abelian group

$$\hat{T} := \mathrm{Hom}(T \times_k \bar{k}, G_{m,\bar{k}})$$

of rank d, the group of $\bar{k}$-rational characters of T. The absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k} \mid k)$ acts on $\hat{T}$ in a natural way; let

$$\bar{\rho}\,:\ \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k} \mid k) \to \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$$

be the integral representation defined by that action. Let L be a splitting field of T, let $[L : k] = n$, and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(L \mid k)$ be the Galois group of the extension $L \mid k$. Let $T_L := T \times_k L$; clearly,

$$T_L \cong G^d_{m,L}.$$

The representation $\bar\rho$ factors through Γ. Hence $\bar\rho$ coincides with the composition of a representation

$$\rho\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$$

with the surjection

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\bar k \mid k) \to \Gamma.$$

The representation ρ defines an action of the group Γ on the $\mathbb{Z}$-module

$$M := \mathrm{Hom}(T_L, G_{m,L})\,;$$

let $\check M$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module dual to M and let $\check\rho$ be the integral representation contragredient to ρ. We choose a $\mathbb{Z}$ - basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ of $\check M$ and write

$$\check\rho(g)\; e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} e_j\; r(g)_{ji},\ 1 \le i \le d, \tag{2}$$

with $r(g) \in \mathfrak{M}_{dd}$. Further, let us choose a basis $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ of the extension $L \mid k$ and a system of independent variables

$$x := (\ldots, x_j^{(i)}, \ldots),\ 0 \le i \le d,\ 1 \le j \le n$$

and consider the following $d+1$ linear forms:

$$t_i := x_1^{(i)}\omega_1 + \cdots + x_n^{(i)}\omega_n\ ,\ 0 \le i \le d,$$

in $L[x]$. Let

$$gt_i := \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{(i)} g\omega_j \tag{3}$$

for $1 \le i \le d,\ g \in \Gamma$. The equations

$$\prod_{i=0}^{d} t_i - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j^{(0)}(x)\,\omega_j$$

and

$$gt_i \prod_{j=1}^{d} t_j^{r(g)^-_{ji}} - \prod_{j=1}^{d} t_j^{r(g)^+_{ji}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j^{(i,g)}(x)\,\omega_j,\ 1 \le i \le d,\ g \in \Gamma,$$

uniquely define the following system of polynomials in $k[x]$:

$$\mathcal{P} := \{P_j^{(0)}(x),\ P_j^{(i,g)}(x) \mid 1 \le j \le n,\ 1 \le i \le d,\ g \in \Gamma\}.$$

Let I be the ideal, generated in $k[x]$ by the set of polynomials $\mathcal{P}$, and let

$$B_0 := k[x]/I.$$

It follows from the basic definitions that

$$T = \mathrm{Spec}\ B_0, \tag{4}$$

see, for instance, [18] or [14, Section 2, Corollary 1]. It is clear that

$$B_0 \otimes_k L = L[t, t^{-1}],$$

where $t^{-1} := (t_1^{-1}, \ \dots, \ t_d^{-1})$, and

$$T_L = \text{Spec } L[t, t^{-1}]. \tag{5}$$

For $g \in \Gamma$, one can extend the Galois action

$$g \colon L \to L$$

to an automorphism

$$g \colon L[t, t^{-1}] \to L[t, t^{-1}]$$

by letting

$$g t_i = \prod_{j=1}^{d} t_j^{r(g)_{ji}}. \tag{6}$$

It follows then that

$$B_0 = (L[t, t^{-1}])^{\Gamma}. \tag{7}$$

2. A normal variety X defined over a field K of characteristic zero is called a $G_{m,K}^d$ - *toric variety* if X contains a dense open subset isomorphic to the torus $G_{m,K}^d$ and is equipped with an action

$$G_{m,K}^d \times X \to X$$

that extends the natural action of the torus $G_{m,K}^d$ on itself; cf. [9, p. 3].

Let $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone (=: scrp-cone) in the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $V := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and let

$$\check{\sigma}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{v \ \mid \ v \in \check{V}, \ (v \mid \ u) \geq 0 \text{ for } u \in \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$$

be the cone in the vector space $\check{V} := \check{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, dual to $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. One defines two semigroups

$$\sigma := \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap M$$

and

$$\check{\sigma} := \check{\sigma}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \check{M}.$$

Let $\{u_1, \ \dots, \ u_l\}$ be the minimal set of generators of $\check{\sigma}$ (cf. [9, p. 14]), and let

$$\mathfrak{R}(\sigma) := \{\mathbf{a} \mid \ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^l, \ \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_j u_j = 0\}$$

stand for the group of the relations between those generators. There is a matrix c in $\mathfrak{M}_{dl}$ such that

$$u_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} e_i\, c_{ij},\ 1 \le j \le l;$$

clearly,

$$\mathfrak{R}(\sigma) := \{\mathbf{a} \mid\ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^l,\ c \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0\}. \tag{8}$$

We introduce the independent variables $s := (s_1,\ \ldots,\ s_l)$ and write, for brevity, $s^{-1} := (s_1^{-1},\ \ldots,\ s_l^{-1})$. Let $\bar{J}_0(\sigma)$ stand for the ideal in $L[s, s^{-1}]$, generated by the following set of rational functions:

$$\{h_{\mathbf{a}}(s) \mid\ h_{\mathbf{a}}(s) := \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_j^{a_j} - 1,\ \mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{R}(\sigma)\},$$

and consider the L-algebra

$$B_L := L[s, s^{-1}]/\bar{J}_0(\sigma).$$

Since the set $\{u_j \mid\ 1 \le j \le l\}$ generates the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\check{M}$, the set

$$\mathfrak{C} := \{b \mid\ b \in \mathfrak{M}_{ld},\ c \cdot b = I_d\}$$

is not empty. One defines the L - algebra homomorphisms

$$\varphi_0 \colon L[s, s^{-1}] \to L[t, t^{-1}],\ s_j \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{d} t_i^{c_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le l,$$

and

$$\psi_0 \colon L[t, t^{-1}] \to L[s, s^{-1}],\ t_i \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_j^{b_{ji}},\ 1 \le i \le d,$$

for *some* b in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Lemma 1. *The homomorphism ψ_0 gives rise to an L - algebra isomorphism*

$$\psi \colon L[t, t^{-1}] \to B_L. \tag{9}$$

The definition of the map ψ does not depend on the choice of b in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Proof. Since $\varphi_0 \circ \psi_0 = 1$, the map φ_0 is surjective. It follows from (8) and the definition of φ_0 that

$$\bar{J}_0(\sigma) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\ \varphi_0.$$

Suppose that

$$q(s) \in \mathrm{Ker}\ \varphi_0.$$

Write

$$q(s) = \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le R\\ 1\le j\le S}} \alpha_{ij} m_{ij}(s),$$

where $\alpha_{ij} \in L$ and $\{m_{ij}(s) \mid 1 \le j \le S\}$ is a set of Laurent monomials in $L[s, s^{-1}]$ such that

$$\varphi_0(m_{ij}(s)) = n_i(t),\ 1 \le i \le R,\ 1 \le j \le S, \tag{10}$$

for some Laurent monomials $n_i(t)$ in $L[t, t^{-1}]$, satisfying the following condition:

$$n_\nu(t) \ne n_\mu(t) \text{ for } \nu \ne \mu.$$

Since $\varphi_0(q(s)) = 0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{1\le j\le S} \alpha_{ij} = 0,\ 1 \le i \le R. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (8), (10), and the definition of φ_0 that

$$m_{ij}(s) = m_{i1}(s) \prod_{h=1}^{l} s_h^{a_h^{(ij)}} \tag{12}$$

with

$$\mathbf{a}^{(ij)} \in \mathfrak{R}(\sigma). \tag{13}$$

In view of (11) and (12), one concludes that

$$q(s) = \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le R\\ 1\le j\le S}} \alpha_{ij} m_{i1}(s)(\prod_{h=1}^{l} s_h^{a_h^{(ij)}} - 1). \tag{14}$$

Relations (13) and (14) show that $q(s) \in \bar{J}_0(\sigma)$. Thus

$$\bar{J}_0(\sigma) = \text{Ker } \varphi_0. \tag{15}$$

Therefore the map ψ_0 gives rise to an L - algebra isomorphism (9), as asserted. It can be easily checked that the definition of that isomorphism does not depend on the choice of b in $\mathfrak{C}$. This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Corollary 1. *We have*

$$T_L \cong \text{Spec } B_L. \tag{16}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 and relation (5).

Let $J_0(\sigma)$ denote the ideal of the polynomial ring $L[s]$, generated by the following set of binomials:

$$\{f_{\mathbf{a}}(s) \mid \; f_{\mathbf{a}}(s) := \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_j^{a_j^+} - \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_j^{a_j^-} \;, \; \mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{R}(\sigma)\}.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $p(s) \in L[s]$, let $m_1(s)$ and $m_2(s)$ be two monomials in $L[s]$, and let $q(s) := p(s)m_2(s) + m_1(s)$. If $q(s) \in J_0(\sigma)$, then*

$$\frac{m_1(s)}{m_2(s)} = m_3(s) \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_j^{a_j} \tag{17}$$

for some monomial $m_3(s)$ in $L[s]$ and some $\mathbf{a}$ in $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma)$.

Proof. Since $q(s) \in J_0(\sigma)$, it follows that

$$\varphi_0(\frac{m_1(s)}{m_2(s)} + p(s)) = 0 \tag{18}$$

in $L[t, t^{-1}]$. Write

$$p(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i n_i(s) \tag{19}$$

for some monomials $n_i(s)$ in $L[s]$ and some a_i in L. It follows from (18) and (19) that

$$\varphi_0(\frac{m_1(s)}{m_2(s)}) = \varphi_0(m_3(s)) \tag{20}$$

for some $m_3(s)$ in $\{n_i(s) \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. As in the proof of Lemma 1, the assertion of Corollary 2 follows from (8), (20), and the definition of φ_0.

Let

$$A_L := L[s]/J_0(\sigma),$$

and consider the affine L - scheme

$$X_L(\sigma) := \text{Spec } A_L. \tag{21}$$

The scheme Spec B_L is clearly isomorphic to a dense open subset of the scheme $X_L(\sigma)$, and therefore it follows from Corollary 1 that the torus T_L can be imbedded into the variety $X_L(\sigma)$ as a dense open orbit. Let

$$\lambda_1 \colon T_L \to X_L(\sigma)$$

be the dominant open immersion, describing that imbedding. We shall say that the T_L - toric variety $X_L(\sigma)$ corresponds to the scrp-cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (cf. [9, p. 19]).

Example 1. It can be easily seen that $X_L(\{0\}) \cong T_L$.

One can show that any *affine* T_L - toric variety corresponds to a scrp - cone (see, for instance, [19]).

3. For $b \in \mathfrak{M}_{ld}$, let

$$\tilde{r}(g,b) := b \cdot r(g) \cdot c, \ g \in \Gamma, \tag{22}$$

the matrix $r(g)$ being defined by (2). Clearly,

$$\check{\rho}(g)\ u_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l} u_j\ \tilde{r}(g,b)_{ji}, \ 1 \le i \le l, \tag{23}$$

for $b \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Lemma 2. *Let $g \in \Gamma$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le m \le l$. For every $\mathbf{a}$ in $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma)$, there is a matrix b in $\mathfrak{M}_{ld}$ such that*

$$c \cdot b = 0 \ \ \textit{and} \ \ \tilde{r}(g,b)_{jm} = a_j \ \textit{for}\ 1 \le j \le l.$$

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ - basis of $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma)$ and let

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \alpha_j v_j. \tag{24}$$

Since the map $b \mapsto b \cdot r(g)$ is an automorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}$ - module

$$N := \{b \mid \ b \in \mathfrak{M}_{ld}, \ c \cdot b = 0\},$$

it suffices to find a matrix b in N satisfying the following condition:

$$(b \cdot c)_{jm} = a_j, \ 1 \le j \le l.$$

Since $\{u_1, \ \ldots, \ u_l\}$ is the minimal basis of the saturated semi-group $\check{\sigma}$, it follows that h.c.f.$(c_{1m}, \ldots, c_{dm}) = 1$. Therefore there is a matrix w in $\mathfrak{M}_{ld}$ such that

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_{jm} w_{ji}, \ 1 \le i \le t. \tag{25}$$

Let

$$b^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{ij} v_j, \ 1 \le i \le d, \tag{26}$$

and let $b = (b^{(1)}, \ \ldots, \ b^{(d)})$ be the matrix with columns $b^{(i)}$. By construction, $b \in N$. On the other hand, it follows from equations (24) - (26) that

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} b^{(i)} c_{im};$$

consequently,

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{t} b_{ji} c_{im} = (b \cdot c)_{jm},\ 1 \le j \le d,$$

as required.

Corollary 3. *The set of rational functions*

$$\{s_j - \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_i^{(b \cdot c)_{ij}} \mid b \in \mathfrak{C},\ 1 \le j \le l\}$$

generates the ideal $\bar{J}_0(\sigma)$ *in* $L[s, s^{-1}]$.

Proof. Let $b_0 \in \mathfrak{C}$. Since

$$u_j = \sum_{i=1}^{t} u_i (b_0 \cdot c)_{ij},\ 1 \le j \le l,$$

it follows from (8) that

$$(b_0 \cdot c)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + a_i^{(j)},\ 1 \le i,\ j \le l,$$

for some $\mathbf{a}^{(j)}$ in $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma)$. By Lemma 2, for every j there is a matrix β in $\mathfrak{M}_{ld}$ such that $c \cdot \beta = 0$ and

$$(\beta \cdot c)_{ij} = a_i - a_i^{(j)},\ 1 \le i \le l.$$

Let $b = b_0 + \beta$, then $b \in \mathfrak{C}$ and

$$h_{\mathbf{a}}(s) = s_j^{-1}(s_j - \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_i^{(b \cdot c)_{ij}}).$$

This proves the corollary, since the polynomials $h_{\mathbf{a}}(s)$ generate the ideal $\bar{J}_0(\sigma)$, as $\mathbf{a}$ runs through $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma)$.

In view of (8), one can extend the Galois action

$$g \colon L \to L$$

to an automorphism

$$g \colon B_L \to B_L$$

by letting, for some b in $\mathfrak{C}$,

$$g s_j = \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma. \tag{27}$$

Lemma 3. *The map (9) is a* Γ *- isomorphism and, moreover,*

$$B_0 \cong (B_L)^{\Gamma}. \tag{28}$$

Proof. The first assertion is an immediate consequence of the relations (6), (22), (27), and the definition of the map ψ_0. Since ψ is a Γ - isomorphism, relation (28) follows from (7).

4. The following definition describes one of the main objects of this work.

Definition 1. Let T be an algebraic k-torus and let $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an scrp - cone in the $\mathbb{Q}$ - vector space V, as above. An *affine T - toric variety*, corresponding to the scrp - cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, is a separated k - scheme Y satisfying the following conditions:

(i) There is a k-immersion

$$\lambda_2 \colon T \to Y;$$

(ii) There are a splitting field L of the torus T and an L-isomorphism

$$\varphi_1 \colon Y \times_k L \to X_L(\sigma);$$

(iii) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle p_1}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle q}\uparrow \\ T_L & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & X_L(\sigma) \end{array} \tag{29}$$

commutes (here

$$p_1 \colon T_L \to T \ \text{ and } \ p_2 \colon Y \times_k L \to Y$$

are the natural projections, and $q := p_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$).

Lemma 4. *An affine T - toric variety is an affine k - scheme of finite type.*

Proof. Let Y be an affine T - toric variety. Then there is a finite extension of fields $L \mid k$ such that the scheme $Y \times_k L$ is an affine L - scheme of finite type. Therefore Y is an affine k - scheme of finite type [11, p. 20] (cf. also [20, Example 2 on p. 23]).

Theorem 1. *The following assertions hold true:*

(i) If there exists an affine T - toric variety, corresponding to an scrp - cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then the cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is Γ - invariant.

(ii) There exists one and, up to an isomorphism, only one affine T - toric variety corresponding to a Γ - invariant scrp - cone.

(iii) An affine T - toric variety is an affine k-scheme of finite type, containing (a subscheme isomorphic to) the torus T as a dense open subset; such a variety satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii) of Definition 1 for any splitting field L.

Proof. 1) Let Y be an affine T - toric variety, corresponding to an scrp - cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$Y = \text{Spec } B \tag{30}$$

for a suitable commutative finitely generated k - algebra B. In view of Definition 1(i), relation (4), and relation (28), there is an injective homomorphism

$$B \to (B_L)^{\Gamma}.$$

Therefore commutative diagram (29) gives rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_L & \longrightarrow & B_L \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & (B_L)^{\Gamma} \end{array} \tag{31}$$

Since all the maps in (31) are injective, it may be assumed, without loss of generality, that

$$B \subseteq A_L \subseteq B_L, \;\; B \subseteq (B_L)^{\Gamma} \subseteq B_L.$$

It follows then that $B \subseteq A_L \cap (B_L)^{\Gamma}$, and therefore

$$B \subseteq (A_L)^{\Gamma} \tag{32}$$

since $A_L \cap (B_L)^{\Gamma} = (A_L)^{\Gamma}$. Moreover, in accordance with Definition 1(ii) and relation (21), we shall assume that

$$B \otimes_k L = A_L. \tag{33}$$

Since A_L is an integral domain, one can choose a system of independent variables

$$y := (\ldots, y_j^{(i)}, \ldots), \; 1 \leq i \leq l, \; 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

in such a way that

$$s_i = y_1^{(i)}\omega_1 + \cdots + y_n^{(i)}\omega_n \;, \; 1 \leq i \leq l,$$

and

$$B = k[y]/\mathfrak{A} \tag{34}$$

for some ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ of $k[y]$. The choice of variables y determines the action of the group Galois group Γ on B_L:

$$gs_i = y_1^{(i)}g\omega_1 + \cdots + y_n^{(i)}g\omega_n \;, \; 1 \leq i \leq l, \; g \in \Gamma. \tag{35}$$

In view of (32), (34), and (35), we conclude that

$$B = (A_L)^{\Gamma}. \tag{36}$$

Let us enlarge our set of independent variables y to the set

$$\bar{y} := (\ldots, y_j^{(i)}, \ldots), \; 0 \leq i \leq l, \; 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

and let

$$s_0 = y_1^{(0)}\omega_1 + \cdots + y_n^{(0)}\omega_n.$$

The relations

$$\prod_{i=0}^{l} s_i - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_j^{(0)}(\bar{y})\,\omega_j,\ Q_h^{(0)}(\bar{y}) \in k[\bar{y}],\ 1 \le h \le l,$$

and

$$gs_j \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^-_{ij}} - \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^+_{ij}} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} Q_h^{(j,b,g)}(y)\,\omega_h,$$

$$Q_h^{(j,b,g)}(y) \in k[y],\ 1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma, \tag{37}$$

uniquely define the following system of polynomials in $k[\bar{y}]$:

$$\mathcal{P}_1 := \{Q_h^{(j,b,g)}(y),\ Q_h^{(0)}(\bar{y}) \mid 1 \le h \le n,\ 1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the ideal of the ring $k[\bar{y}]$, generated by the set $\mathcal{P}_1$, and let

$$B_1 := k[\bar{y}]/\mathfrak{A}_1. \tag{38}$$

It is clear that

$$B_1 \otimes_k L \cong L[s, s^{-1}]/\mathfrak{A}_0, \tag{39}$$

where the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is generated in the ring $L[s, s^{-1}]$ by the following set of rational functions:

$$\{s_j - \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_i^{(b\cdot c)_{ij}} \mid\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ 1 \le j \le l\}.$$

By Corollary 3,

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 = \bar{J}_0(\sigma);$$

therefore it follows from (39) that

$$B_1 \otimes_k L \cong B_L. \tag{40}$$

On identifying the k-algebra B_1 with a subalgebra of B_L, one infers from (40) that

$$B_1 = (B_L)^{\Gamma}. \tag{41}$$

It follows from relations (34), (36), (38), and (41) that

$$\mathfrak{A} = A_L \cap \mathfrak{A}_1.$$

Therefore the ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated in $k[y]$ by the set of polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_2 := \{Q_h^{(j,b,g)}(y) \mid 1 \le h \le n,\ 1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma\} \tag{42}$$

defined by relations (37). Thus equations (30) and (34) define the scheme Y uniquely up to an isomorphism, in terms of the torus T and the scrp -

cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Moreover, it follows from relations (4), (28), (30), (38), and (41) that the torus T is (isomorphic to) a dense open subset of the scheme Y.

2) By definition, $gs_j \in A_L$; therefore there is a polynomial $h_{g,j}(s)$ in $L[s]$ such that

$$gs_j = h_{g,j}(s) \pmod{J_0(\sigma)}.$$

Let

$$f_{g,j,b}(s) := h_{g,j}(s) \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^-_{ij}} - \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^+_{ij}}.$$

Since $B \subseteq A_L$, we may conclude that

$$f_{g,j,b}(s) \in J_0(\sigma),\ 1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma. \tag{43}$$

In view of Corollary 2, it follows from (43) that

$$\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij} = n(g,b,j)_i + a(g,b,j)_i,\ n(g,b,j)_i \in \mathbb{N},\ 1 \le i \le l, \tag{44}$$

with $\mathbf{a}(g,b,j) \in \mathfrak{R}(\sigma)$ for every j in the interval $1 \le j \le l$, every b in $\mathfrak{C}$, and each g in Γ. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} a(g,b,j)_i u_i = 0,$$

relations (23) and (44) show that

$$g \cdot u_j \in \check{\sigma},\ 1 \le j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma.$$

Thus the cone $\check{\sigma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and, therefore, the cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are Γ - invariant.

3) Conversely, suppose the scrp-cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and, therefore, the cone $\check{\sigma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be Γ - invariant. We shall construct an affine T - toric variety, corresponding to the cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let us choose a system of independent variables

$$y := (\ldots, y_j^{(i)}, \ldots),\ 1 \le i \le l,\ 1 \le j \le n,$$

and write, for brevity,

$$gs_i := y_1^{(i)} g\omega_1 + \cdots + y_n^{(i)} g\omega_n\ ,\ 1 \le i \le l,\ g \in \Gamma. \tag{45}$$

We define the set $\mathcal{P}_2$ by (37) and (42) as above, and *denote* by $\mathfrak{A}$ the ideal in $k[y]$ generated by the set $\mathcal{P}_2$. Let the k-algebra B be *defined* by (34), then

$$B \otimes_k L = L[y]/\bar{\mathfrak{A}},$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the ideal generated by the set $\mathcal{P}_2$ in $L[y]$. Since the cone $\check{\sigma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and, therefore, the semigroup $\check{\sigma}$ are Γ - invariant, one may write

$$gu_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l} u_j \beta(g)_{ji},\ \beta(g)_{ij} \in \mathbb{N},\ 1 \le i, j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma. \tag{46}$$

It follows from (23) and (46) that

$$\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ji} = \beta(g)_{ji} + a(g,b,i)_j,\ 1 \le j \le l,\ \mathbf{a}(g,b,i) \in \mathfrak{R}(\sigma) \tag{47}$$

for every i in the interval $1 \le i \le l$, every b in $\mathfrak{C}$, and each g in Γ . By Lemma 2, one can find a matrix b_1 in $\mathfrak{M}_{ld}$ such that $c \cdot b_1 = 0$ and

$$\tilde{r}(g,b_1)_{ji} = -a(g,b,i)_j,\ 1 \le j \le l.$$

Let $b_2 = b + b_1$, then $b_2 \in \mathfrak{C}$ and

$$\tilde{r}(g,b_2)_{ji} = \tilde{r}(g,b_2)^+_{ji} = \beta(g)_{ji}.$$

Therefore the ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ contains the set of polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_3 := \{gs_i - \prod_{j=1}^{l} s_j^{\beta(g)_{ji}} \mid 1 \le i \le l,\ g \in \Gamma\};$$

moreover, it follows from Corollary 3 that $J_0(\sigma) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_2$ be the ideal generated in $L[y]$ by the set $\mathcal{P}_3 \cup J_0(\sigma)$, then $\mathfrak{A}_2 \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$. On the other hand, it follows from (47) and the definition of the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_2$ that

$$gs_j \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^-_{ij}} - \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^+_{ij}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^-_{ij}+\beta(g)_{ij}} - \prod_{i=1}^{l} s_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^+_{ij}} = 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{A}_2},$$

therefore $\mathcal{P}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$ and consequently $\bar{\mathfrak{A}} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$. Thus $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$, and we conclude that

$$B \otimes_k L = L[y]/\mathfrak{A}_2. \tag{48}$$

Since

$$det(g\omega_i)_{1\le i\le l,\ g\in\Gamma} \ne 0,$$

it follows from (45) and the definition of the set $\mathcal{P}_3$ that

$$y_j^{(i)} = p_j^{(i)}(s) \pmod{\mathfrak{A}_2},\ p_j^{(i)}(s) \in L[s],\ 1 \le i \le l,\ 1 \le j \le n. \tag{49}$$

Combining relations (48) and (49), one concludes that

$$B \otimes_k L = L[s]/J_0(\sigma) = A_L. \tag{50}$$

Commutative diagram (31) is a straightforward consequence of equations (50) and the definitions. Since commutative diagram (29), with $Y =$ Spec B, is equivalent to (31), it follows that the k - scheme Spec B is an affine T - toric variety corresponding to the scrp-cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Example 2. It is clear that the torus T itself is an affine T-toric variety corresponding to the *trivial* scrp-cone $\{0\}$ since

$$T \times_k L \cong X_L(\{0\}),$$

cf. Example 1.

Definition 2. The torus T is said to be *isotropic* if the vector space V (or equivalently, the vector space $\check{V}$) contains a non-zero Γ-invariant vector.

Lemma 5.*(cf.* [18]*). The vector space V contains a non-trivial Γ-invariant scrp-cone if and only if the torus T is isotropic.*

Proof. Suppose that the torus T is isotropic. Then there is an element z in V such that $z \neq 0$ and $g \cdot z = z$. The non-trivial scrp-cone

$$\{a \cdot z \mid a \in \mathbb{Q},\ a \geq 0\}$$

is clearly Γ-invariant. Conversely, let $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a non-trivial Γ-invariant scrp-cone, let $u \in \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$, and let

$$z = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} g \cdot u.$$

It is clear that $z \in \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and that z is a Γ-invariant vector. Suppose that $z = 0$, then

$$u = -\sum_{g \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}} g \cdot u.$$

Therefore $u \in \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap (-\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and, consequently, $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap (-\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq 0$, in contradiction with the strict convexity of the cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus $z \neq 0$, and the torus T is isotropic.

§3. Integral models.

1. Let now k be a number field, let $\mathfrak{o}$ be the ring of integers of k, and let K be the *minimal* splitting field of our k-torus T. Thus $K \mid k$ is a finite normal extension, and the torus T splits over any finite extension of K. We start with the following corollary of a recent theorem of M. V. Bondarko [2], alluded to in §1.

Proposition 1. *There is a finite normal extension $L \mid k$ satisfying the following two conditions:*
(i) $K \subseteq L$
and
(ii) every fractional ideal of L is a free $\mathfrak{o}$-module.

Proof. Let H be the Hilbert class field of k and suppose that $F \mid H$ is a finite extension of even degree. Then any fractional ideal of F is an $\mathfrak{o}$-free module [2, Theorem 1]. Therefore it suffices to let L be a finite normal extension of k such that $H \cdot K \subseteq L$ and the degree $[L : H]$ is even.

Let the number field L be chosen to satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 1. Then L is a splitting field of the torus T. Let $\mathfrak{O}$ be the ring of integers of L, and suppose $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ be an integral basis of the extension $L \mid k$, so that

$$\mathfrak{O} = \mathfrak{o}\omega_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{o}\omega_n.$$

Then the set of polynomials $\mathcal{P}$, defined in §2, is contained in the ring $\mathfrak{o}[x]$. Let J be the ideal generated in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$ by the set $\mathcal{P}$, let $A := \mathfrak{o}[x]/J$, and let

$$\mathcal{T} = \text{Spec } A. \tag{51}$$

It is clear that the scheme $\mathcal{T}$ is of finite type over $\mathfrak{o}$ and that

$$\mathcal{T} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k \cong T.$$

It follows from (51) and the definition of the ideal J that

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\vec{\alpha} \mid \vec{\alpha} \in [(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{O})^*]^d,\ g\alpha_j = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i^{r(g)_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le n,\ g \in \Gamma\} \tag{52}$$

for any commutative $\mathfrak{o}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. In view of (52), one concludes that $\mathcal{T}$ is an $\mathfrak{o}$-group scheme.

Proposition 2. *(cf.* [14, Proposition 5]*). The scheme $\mathcal{T}$ is a reduced faithfully flat $\mathfrak{o}$-scheme.*

Proof. 1) Since $det(g\omega_j)_{1\le j\le n,\, g\in\Gamma} \neq 0$, there are a system of d independent variables $t := (t_1,\ \ldots,\ t_d)$ and a system of $d \cdot n$ polynomials

$$p(t) := (\ldots,\ p(t)_{ij},\ \ldots),\ p(t)_{ij} \in L[t],\ 1 \le i \le d,\ 1 \le j \le n,$$

satisfying the relations

$$gt_i := \sum_{j=1}^{d} p(t)_{ij} \cdot \omega_j\ ,\ 1 \le j \le n,\ g \in \Gamma.$$

Therefore it follows from the definition of the ideal J that

$$A \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{O} = \mathfrak{O}[t,\ t^{-1},\ p(t)],$$

where $t^{-1} := (t_1^{-1},\ \ldots,\ t_d^{-1})$. Hence $\mathcal{T} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{O}$ is a reduced scheme and, since $\mathfrak{O}$ is a free $\mathfrak{o}$-module, it follows that the scheme $\mathcal{T}$ is a reduced.

2) Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in $\mathfrak{o}$ and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a fixed prime ideal in $\mathfrak{O}$ lying above $\mathfrak{p}$ (so that $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$). Let $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$, and $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denote the $\mathfrak{p}$-completion of the field k, the ring of integers of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the $\mathfrak{P}$-completion of the field L, and the ring of integers of $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ respectively. Let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{P}} \mid k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $n_{\mathfrak{p}} := [L_{\mathfrak{P}} : k_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ be the Galois group and the degree of the extension $L_{\mathfrak{P}} \mid k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since "being a reduced faithfully flat scheme" is a local property (cf. [4, Chap. II, § 3, Corollary to Proposition 15]), it suffices to prove that the scheme

$$\mathcal{T}^{(\mathfrak{p})} := \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is a faithfully flat $\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$-scheme. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative $\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$-algebra. The following well-known identity

$$\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{O} = \sum_{g\in\Gamma/\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}} \oplus\, \mathfrak{O}_{g\mathfrak{P}},$$

[8, Chap. III, eq.(1.8)], gives:

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes_\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{O} = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p} \otimes_\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{O} = \sum_{g\in\Gamma/\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}} \oplus (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{O}_{g\mathfrak{P}}),$$

so that

$$[(\mathcal{A} \otimes_\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{O})^*]^d = [\sum_{g\in\Gamma/\Gamma_\mathfrak{p}} \oplus (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{O}_{g\mathfrak{P}})^*]^d \tag{53}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{T}^{(\mathfrak{p})} = \text{Spec}\,(A \otimes_\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}),$$

the sets of $\mathcal{A}$-points $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathcal{A})$ may be identified. Therefore it follows from (52) and (53) that

$$\mathcal{T}^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\vec{\alpha} \mid \vec{\alpha} \in [(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{O}_\mathfrak{P})^*]^d,\ g\alpha_j = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i^{r(g)_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le n_\mathfrak{p},\ g \in \Gamma_\mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Consequently, as in 1), we can find an $\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$-algebra $A_\mathfrak{p}$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}^{(\mathfrak{p})} = \text{Spec}\, A_\mathfrak{p}$$

and

$$A_\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{O}_\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{O}_\mathfrak{P}[t,\ t^{-1},\ q(t)], \tag{54}$$

where $t := (t_1,\ \ldots,\ t_d)$ is a system of d independent variables, $t^{-1} := (t_1^{-1},\ \ldots,\ t_d^{-1})$, and $q(t)$ is a system of $d \cdot n$ polynomials with coefficients in $L_\mathfrak{P}$. Since $\mathfrak{O}_\mathfrak{P}$ is a free $\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$-module, it follows from (54) that the $\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$-module $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is torsion-free. The ring $\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a principal ideal domain, therefore $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is a flat module, [12, Example 9.1.3 on p.254]. Moreover, since $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} \neq A_\mathfrak{p}$, the module $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is faithfully flat [4, Chap. I, § 3, Proposition 1]. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

Remark. In [14, Propositions 2 and 4], we have proved that the $\mathfrak{o}$-group scheme $\mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to the scheme-theoretic closure of the k-torus T with respect to the natural imbedding of that torus into the Néron–Raynaud model of the quasi-split k-torus $R_{L|k}G^d_{m,L}$. This assertion can be used to give a shorter proof of the flatness of the scheme $\mathcal{T}$, cf. [3, p.291].

The $\mathfrak{o}$-group scheme $\mathcal{T}$ may be regarded as a natural $\mathfrak{o}$-integral model of the k-torus T; in [13], [14], we have called $\mathcal{T}$ the *standard model* of T. If the extension $K \mid k$ is at most *tamely ramified*, then the identity component of the scheme $\mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to the identity component of the Néron–Raynaud model of the torus T [14, Theorem 3]. In general, one can obtain a smooth integral model of finite type of the torus T from the standard model by a suitable process of resolution of singularities. In the following example, such a model is described for the norm-torus, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the equation $x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$.

Example 3. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}$, let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, and consider the norm-torus

$$T = R^1_{K|k} G_{m,k} := \text{Ker}\ (N_{K|k} : R_{K|k} G_{m,K} \to G_{m,k}).$$

The extension $K \mid k$ is wildly ramified at the prime 2. We have

$$\mathcal{T} = \text{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 2y^2 - 1).$$

The reduction $\mathcal{T}_2 = \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{F}_2$ of $\mathcal{T}$ modulo 2 is not a reduced scheme; indeed,

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \text{Spec}\ \mathbf{F}_2[x, y]/((x - 1)^2).$$

Thus the standard model $\mathcal{T}$ is not a smooth scheme in this case. It follows that the identity component $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ of the Néron–Raynaud model $\mathcal{N}$ of the torus T is isomorphic to the identity component $\mathcal{N}_1^{(0)}$ of the following "smoothening"

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \text{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 + x - 2y^2)$$

of the standard model $\mathcal{T}$; cf. [6, Example 4.3], [14, Example 4], [15, Proposition 5.6]. One observes that

$$\mathcal{N}_1^{(0)} = \mathcal{N}_1 \setminus \mathcal{S},$$

where

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x + 1, 2);$$

clearly, $\mathcal{S} \cong G_{a,\mathbf{F}_2}$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{N}^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{S} \cong \text{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(2x^2 + x - 4y^2);$$

thus

$$\mathcal{N}^{(0)} \cong \text{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(2x^2 + x - 4y^2).$$

At the end of our joint work with V.E. Voskresenskiĭ [14], we ask whether the identity component of the Néron–Raynaud model of the torus T is an affine scheme. Professor Q. Liu [16] and Professor D. Lorenzini [17] tell us that this is indeed the case.

Proposition 3. ([16], [17]). *The identity component $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ of the Néron–Raynaud model $\mathcal{N}$ of an algebraic torus defined over a number field k is an affine scheme.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a smooth separated scheme locally of finite type over $\mathfrak{o}$ [3, Definition 1 on p. 289], it follows from the general theory [10, Proposition 5.5.1 on p.136], [3, Proposition 2.4.8 on p.53] that $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ is a flat separated group scheme of finite type over $\mathfrak{o}$. But $\mathfrak{o}$ is a Dedekind domain and the generic fibre T of $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ is affine, therefore $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ is an affine scheme [1, Proposition 2.3.1 on p.30].

The reader may consult [14] and the works cited there for a further discussion of the arithmetic properties and applications of integral models of algebraic tori.

2. Let X be an affine T - toric variety corresponding to an scrp - cone $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and suppose that $X = \text{Spec } k[y]/\mathfrak{A}$, where the ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated in $k[y]$ by the set of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_2$. As in [13], let $\vec{\mathfrak{B}} := (\mathfrak{B}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_l)$ be a sequence of fractional ideals of L such that

$$g \cdot \mathfrak{B}_j = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{B}_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma, \tag{55}$$

for some (and therefore for every) b in $\mathfrak{C}$.

In view of Proposition 1, every fractional ideal in L is a free $\mathfrak{o}$-module. Let us fix an $\mathfrak{o}$-basis $\{\omega_{1j}, \dots, \omega_{nj}\}$ of the ideal $\mathfrak{B}_j$, write, for brevity,

$$gz_j := y_1^{(j)} g\omega_{1j} + \dots + y_n^{(j)} g\omega_{nj},\ 1 \le j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma,$$

and consider the set of polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_4(\vec{\mathfrak{B}}) := \{R_h^{(j,b,g)}(y) \mid 1 \le h \le n,\ 1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma\}$$

defined by the following conditions:

$$gz_j \prod_{i=1}^{l} z_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}^-} - \prod_{i=1}^{l} z_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}^+} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} R_h^{(j,b,g)}(y)\, \omega_h^{(j,b,g)},$$

$$R_h^{(j,b,g)}(y) \in \mathfrak{o}[y],\ 1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma,$$

where $\{\omega_1^{(j,b,g)}, \dots, \omega_n^{(j,b,g)}\}$ is an $\mathfrak{o}$-basis of the ideal

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{B}_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}^+} (= g\mathfrak{B}_j \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{B}_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}^-}).$$

In view of (55), the polynomials $R_h^{(j,b,g)}(y)$ are well-defined. By construction, $\mathcal{P}_4(\vec{\mathfrak{B}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{o}[y]$.

Let $J_4(\vec{\mathfrak{B}})$ be the ideal generated in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$ by the set $\mathcal{P}_4(\vec{\mathfrak{B}})$ and let

$$\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}} = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{o}[x]/J_4(\vec{\mathfrak{B}}). \tag{56}$$

It follows from (56) and the definition of X that

$$\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k \cong X;$$

therefore the scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}$ is an $\mathfrak{o}$-integral model of X. Moreover, there are a natural imbedding

$$F: \mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}} \tag{57}$$

and a natural action

$$G: \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}\ , \tag{58}$$

although, in general, $\mathcal{T}$ is not isomorphic to an open subscheme of the scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative $\mathfrak{o}$-algebra. It follows from the definition of the $\mathfrak{o}$-scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}$ that

$$\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\vec{\beta} \mid \beta_j \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{B}_j,\ g\beta_j \prod_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^-_{ij}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)^+_{ij}},$$
$$1 \le j \le l,\ b \in \mathfrak{C},\ g \in \Gamma\}.$$

Morphisms (57) and (58) can be pointwise given by the following maps:

$$F(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}(\mathcal{A}),\ F(\mathcal{A}) : \vec{\alpha} \mapsto \vec{\beta},$$

where

$$\beta_j = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i^{c_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le l,$$

and

$$G(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}(\mathcal{A}),\ G(\mathcal{A}) : (\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) \mapsto F(\mathcal{A})(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \vec{\beta};$$

(here we let $\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\gamma} = \vec{\delta}$ with $\delta_j := \beta_j \gamma_j,\ 1 \le j \le l$, for $\vec{\beta},\ \vec{\gamma}$ in $\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}(\mathcal{A})$).

As in [13], we introduce the group

$$\mathfrak{I}(\sigma) := \{\vec{\mathfrak{B}} \mid g \cdot \mathfrak{B}_j = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{B}_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma\}$$

of sequences of fractional ideals, with componentwise multiplication, and its subgroup

$$\mathfrak{I}(\sigma)_{pr} := \{(\vec{\alpha}) \mid \vec{\alpha} \in (L^*)^l,\ g \cdot \alpha_j = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i^{\tilde{r}(g,b)_{ij}},\ 1 \le j \le l,\ g \in \Gamma,\ b \in \mathfrak{C}\},$$

where $(\vec{\alpha}) := ((\alpha_1), \ldots, (\alpha_l))$ stands for a sequence of principal ideals. Let

$$H(\sigma) = \mathfrak{I}(\sigma)/\mathfrak{I}(\sigma)_{pr}.$$

One can prove [13, Proposition 1] that the group $H(\sigma)$ is finite and, moreover, that if $\vec{\mathfrak{B}}^{-1}\vec{\mathfrak{B}}' \in \mathfrak{I}(\sigma)_{pr}$, then $\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}} \cong \mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}'}$. In particular, it follows that there are only finitely many pairwise non-isomorphic $\mathfrak{o}$-integral schemes $\mathcal{X}_{\vec{\mathfrak{B}}}$ for a given T-toric variety X.

Acknowledgement. We are indebted to Professor Q. Liu and to Professor D. Lorenzini for their private communications. It is a pleasure to thank Professor E. de Shalit, Professor V.E. Voskresenskiĭ, and Professor X.Xarles for helpful remarks.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality and financial support of the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn). The research of B.È. Kunyavskiĭ is partially supported by the Ministry of Absorption (Israel), the Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities - Centre of Excellence Program, RTN network HPRN-CT-2002-00287, INTAS 00-566, and by the Minerva Foundation through the Emmy Noether Research Institute of Mathematics. The research of B.Z.Moroz was partially supported by the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (Barcelona).

References

[1] S. Anantharaman, Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1, *Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mém.* 33 (1973), 5–79.

[2] M. V. Bondarko, Ideals in an extension of a number field as modules over the ring of untegers in a ground field, in: Proceedings of the Session in analytic number theory and Diophantine equations (ed. by D.R. Heath-Brown and B.Z. Moroz), *Bonner Math. Schriften,* 360 (2003).

[3] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron Models,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[4] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative,* Hermann, Paris, 1961–1965.

[5] C.-L. Chai, J.-K. Yu, Congruences of Néron models for tori and the Artin conductor. With an appendix by E. de Shalit. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 154 (2001), 347–382.

[6] B. Edixhoven, Néron models and tame ramification, *Compos. Math.,* 81 (1992), 291–306.

[7] A. Fröhlich, The discriminants of relative extensions and the existence of integral bases, *Mathematika*, 7 (1960), 15–22.

[8] A. Fröhlich and M. Taylor, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.

[9] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties,* Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[10] A. Grothendieck (with collaboration of J. Diedonné), Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique. Le langage des schémas. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* 4 (1960).

[11] A. Grothendieck, Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. I.Généralités. Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats, *Séminaire Bourbaki, 12e année,* 1959/60, no. 190.

[12] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[13] B.È. Kunyavskii and B.Z. Moroz, On integral models of affine toric varieties, *Proc. St. Petersburg Math. Soc.,* 7 (1999), 116–123 (translated in: *American Math. Soc. Translations, Ser. 2,* 203 (2001), 99–105).

[14] B.È. Kunyavskii, B.Z. Moroz, and V.E. Voskresenskii, On integral models of algebraic tori, *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal,* 14 (2003), 35–52.

[15] Q. Liu and D. Lorenzini, Special fibers of Néron models and wild ramification, *J. Reine Angew. Math.,* 532 (2001), 179–222.

[16] Q. Liu, Letter to B.È. Kunyavskii, 12th of September 2003.

[17] D. Lorenzini, Letter to B.È. Kunyavskii, 1st of October 2003.

[18] B.Z. Moroz, On the integer points of some toric varieties, *Quart. J. Math.* (2), 48 (1997), 67–82.

[19] T. Oda, *Lectures on torus embeddings and applications*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978.

[20] V.E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic Groups and Their Birational Invariants*, Amer. Math. Soc., Transl. Math. Monographs, 179 (1998).

B.È. Kunyavskiĭ: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat Gan, ISRAEL
E-mail address: kunyav@macs.biu.ac.il

B.Z. Moroz: Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, GERMANY
E-mail address: moroz@mpim-bonn.mpg.de