

# Regular Inductive Limits of Locally Complete Spaces

Armando García.

## Abstract

An inductive limit  $(E, \tau) = (E_n, \tau_n)$  is regular if and only if every sequence  $(x_k) \in l_p(E, \sigma)$  belongs to  $l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A property  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractivity is defined. Every regular  $(LF)$ -space is  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive. Finally, every locally complete inductive limit of locally complete spaces which satisfies Retakh's condition  $(M_0)$  is regular.

## 1 Introduction.

For a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(X, T)$  and for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the space of absolutely  $p$ -summable sequences is defined by

$$l_p(X, T) = \left\{ (x_k) \in X : \sum_k \rho^p(x_k) < \infty \right. \\ \left. \text{for every continuous seminorm in } (X, T) \right\}.$$

And the space of absolutely bounded sequences

$$l_\infty(X, T) = \left\{ (x_k) \in X : \sup_k \rho(x_k) < \infty \right. \\ \left. \text{for every continuous seminorm in } (X, T) \right\}.$$

In particular, if  $T = \sigma$  is the weak topology, then every continuous seminorm  $\rho(\cdot)$  is given by  $|f(\cdot)|$ , for some  $f \in X'$ .

---

<sup>0</sup>Keywords and phrases.  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractivity, Retakh's Condition  $(M_0)$  and regular inductive limit of locally convex spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46A13; Secondary 46A30.

In [1] it was defined the concept of  $l_{p,q}$ -summability: a sequence  $(x_k) \in (X, T)$  is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable if  $(x_k) \in l_p(X, T)$  and for every  $(a_k) \in l_q(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we have  $\sum_k a_k x_k \xrightarrow{(X, T)} x$ . In [9], Qiu studied  $l_q$ -completeness: a locally convex space  $(X, T)$  is  $l_q$ -complete if every sequence  $(x_k) \in l_p(X, T)$  is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in  $(X, T)$ ; and he proved that for (every)  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $l_q$ -completeness is equivalent to local completeness.

Throughout the paper  $\{(E_n, \tau_n)\}_n$  is a numerable inductive sequence of Hausdorff locally convex spaces and  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  or simply  $E$  its Hausdorff inductive limit. Recall that  $E$  is regular if every bounded subset in  $E$  is contained and bounded in one of the steps; and  $E$  is sequentially retractive if every null sequence in  $E$  converges to zero in some step.

We say  $E$  satisfies the Retakh's condition  $(M)$  (respectively  $(M_0)$ ) (e.g., see [14]) if there exists an increasing sequence  $(U_n)_n$  of absolutely convex neighbourhoods of zero, every  $U_n$  in  $(E_n, \tau_n)$  with the following property: for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $m > n$  such that  $E$  and  $E_m$  induce the same (resp. weak) topology on  $U_n$ . We will assume that every such  $U_n$  is  $\tau_n$ -closed and that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(E_{n+1}, \tau_{n+1})$  and  $(E, \tau)$  (resp.  $(E_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})$  and  $(E, \sigma)$ ) induce the same topology on  $U_n$ , which we do with out loss of generality. We have that  $(M)$  implies  $(M_0)$ , but the converse does not always hold (see [13]). And we say  $E$  satisfies condition  $(Q)$  (see [14]) if the increasing condition for  $(M)$  is dropped.

Let us say that many authors have studied these conditions  $(M)$ ,  $(M_0)$  and  $Q$ . Among others: Vogt in [13] studied condition  $(M)$  for  $(LF)$ -spaces. He obtained several important results about them, e.g. that on  $(LF)$ -spaces condition  $(M)$  implies completeness, regularity and sequential retractivity. Recently, Wengenroth in [14] proved the following very important results on  $(LF)$ -spaces: condition  $(M)$ , condition  $(Q)$ , sequential retractivity and other stronger retractivity conditions are equivalent; and he solved the classical Grothendieck's problem on completeness of regular  $(LF)$ -spaces for the case of inductive limits of Fréchet-Montel spaces. Qiu, in [8] studied  $(LM)$ -spaces with property  $(M_0)$  and he obtained a number of equivalences for regularity.

In [6], Kucera proved that for  $(LF)$ -spaces regularity and sequential completeness are equivalent. Later, in [4], Kucera and Gómez-W. proved that regular inductive limits of sequentially complete spaces are sequentially complete; they also ask for the following question: If  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is a sequentially complete inductive limit of sequentially complete spaces, when is  $(E, \tau)$  regular?

Basically, this work is directed to present a partial answer to the Kucera-Gómez's question and a weak reactivity condition which is satisfied by every regular  $(LF)$ -space.

First, using a strong result of Qiu [10], we prove the following equivalence for regularity: an inductive limit  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is regular if and only if for every sequence  $(x_k) \in l_p(E, \sigma)$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x_k) \in l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . From this, we define a weak reactivity condition: an inductive limit  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive if every sequence  $(x_k)_k$  which is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in  $(E, \sigma)$  is also  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in some  $(E_n, \sigma_n)$ .

It is a very well known fact that sequentially complete spaces are locally complete. Then for a more general context we will treat our original question with locally complete inductive limits of locally complete spaces. On this conditions regularity and  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractivity are equivalent. As a particular case, every regular  $(LF)$ -space satisfies this weaker reactivity condition. Finally, we prove that a locally complete inductive limit of locally complete spaces, which satisfies condition  $(M_0)$  or is webbed is regular.

## 2 Regularity and local completeness.

In order to prove the first theorem, we make some easy observations:

1. A sequence  $(x_k)_k \in (X, T)$  is bounded if and only if for every  $f \in E'$  and for every  $(a_k)_k \in l_1(\mathbb{C})$  we have  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k f(x_k)| < \infty$ .

2. For an inductive limit  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- a)  $E$  is regular.
- b) For every bounded sequence  $(x_k)_k \in (E, \tau)$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x_k)_k \in E_n$  and it is  $\tau_n$ -bounded.
- c) For every  $(x_k)_k \in l_\infty(E, \tau)$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $g \in E'_n$  and for every  $(a_k)_k \in l_1(\mathbb{C})$  we have  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k g(x_k)| < \infty$ .

**Proposition 1** *An inductive limit  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is regular if and only if for every  $(x_k) \in l_p(E, \sigma)$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** In order to prove the sufficiency we will use observation (2.c). Let  $(x_k)_k \in l_\infty(E, \tau)$ ,  $f \in E'$  and  $(a_k)_k \in l_1(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k f(x_k)| < \infty$ .

Now for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_k = |a_k| e^{i\theta_k} = |a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{q}} e^{i\theta_k}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then

$(b_k)_k = (|a_k|^{\frac{1}{q}}) \in l_q(\mathbb{C})$  and  $(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k)$  is such that

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}} \left| f(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k) \right|^p = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k| |f(x_k)|^p \leq R^p \sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k| < \infty,$$

that is  $(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k)_k \in l_p(E, \sigma)$ . Then we have that  $(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k)_k \in l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, for every  $g \in E'_n$  we have

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}} \left| g(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k) \right|^p < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k g(x_k)| &= \sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{q}} |g(x_k)| = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} |b_k| \left| g(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k) \right| \\ &\leq \|(b_k)_k\|_q \left\| (g(|a_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{i\theta_k} x_k))_k \right\|_p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $E$  is regular.

Suppose now that  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is regular. Let  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E, \sigma)$ ,  $f \in E'$  and  $(a_k)_k \in l_q(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}} |f(a_k x_k)| = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} |a_k f(x_k)| \leq \|(a_k)_k\|_q \|(f(x_k))_k\|_p < \infty.$$

So  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_k x_k$  is a weak unconditionally Cauchy series in  $E$  (see [10]). Hence by Theorem 1 in [10], there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_k x_k$  is weak unconditionally Cauchy in  $E_n$ . So we have that for every  $g \in E'_n$  and every  $(a_k)_k \in l_q(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} |g(a_k x_k)| < \infty$ . Now fix  $g_0 \in E'_n$  and define the functional

$$T_{g_0} : \begin{array}{l} l_q(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (a_k)_k \rightarrow \sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_k g_0(x_k) \end{array}$$

It is easy to see  $T_{g_0}$  is linear and continuous, since it is the limit of the partial sums which are linear and continuous. Hence for every  $g_0 \in E'_n$ ,  $(g(x_k))_k \in l_p(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e.  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$ . ■

Now, we define a weak reactivity condition which is equivalent to regularity for many inductive limits.

**Definition 2** An inductive limit  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is said to be  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive if every sequence  $(x_k)_k$  which is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in  $(E, \sigma)$  is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in some  $(E_n, \sigma_n)$ .

In order to see this is well defined, note that if  $(x_k)_k$  is an  $l_{p,q}$ -summable sequence in  $(E, \sigma)$  which is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable sequence in some  $(E_n, \sigma_n)$  and  $(a_k)_k$  is a fixed sequence in  $l_q(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then  $\sum_k a_k x_k \xrightarrow{(E, \sigma)} x_0$  and  $\sum_k a_k x_k \xrightarrow{(E_n, \sigma_n)} z_0$ . But, by the continuity of the following linear identity maps

$$(E_n, \sigma(E_n, E_n)) \xrightarrow{id} ind(E_n, \sigma(E_n, E_n)) \xrightarrow{id} (E, \sigma(E, E))$$

and since we consider only Hausdorff spaces, then  $x_0 = z_0$ .

**Theorem 3** *Let every  $(E_n, \tau_n)$  be locally complete and  $(E, \tau) = ind(E_n, \tau_n)$  be locally complete.  $(E, \tau)$  is regular if and only if it is  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $(E, \tau)$  is regular. Let  $(x_k)_k$  be  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in  $(E, \sigma)$ , in particular  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E, \sigma)$ . By proposition 1,  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(E_n, \sigma_n)$  is locally complete, it follows that  $(x_k)_k$  is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in  $(E_n, \sigma_n)$ . Conversely, suppose  $(E, \tau)$  is  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive and let  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E, \sigma)$ . Since  $(E, \sigma)$  is locally complete, it follows that  $(x_k)_k$  is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in  $(E, \sigma)$ . Hence,  $(x_k)_k$  is  $l_{p,q}$ -summable in some  $(E_n, \sigma_n)$ , in particular  $(x_k)_k \in l_p(E_n, \sigma_n)$ . Hence, by proposition 1,  $(E, \tau)$  is regular. ■

We will give now a result for webbed spaces. For general information about the basic properties of webs, we refer the reader to the works of De Wilde [2], Jarchow [5] and Robertson [11]. As an special remark, let us say that Valdivia [12] proved that locally complete webbed spaces are strictly webbed. And recall that for strictly webbed spaces we have the following classical result (e.g., see [10], lemma 1):

**Lemma 4** *Let  $(E, \tau) = ind(E_n, \tau_n)$  be an inductive limit of strictly webbed spaces. If  $(E, \tau)$  is locally complete then it is regular.*

Combining Theorem 3 and the last observations we have the following immediate corollary

**Corollary 5** *Let  $(E, \tau) = ind(E_n, \tau_n)$  be an inductive limit of locally complete and webbed spaces. If  $(E, \tau)$  is locally complete then it is regular and  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive.*

From lemma 4 it is easy to see another classical result: An  $(LF)$ -space is regular if and only if it is locally complete. Then applying Theorem 3 we conclude:

**Corollary 6** *Every regular (LF)-space is  $l_{p,q}(\sigma)$ -retractive.*

In the next proposition we apply property  $(M_0)$  to obtain a result on regularity of locally complete spaces. Recall that a disk  $D$  in a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(X, T)$  is an absolutely convex, bounded and closed subset. Note before, that for a convex subset  $G \subset E$ , its closure satisfies  $\overline{G}^{(E, \tau)} = \overline{G}^{(E, \sigma(E, E'))}$ , then if the topologies are compatible it is not necessary to specify them when we take closure, and this is valid also for every duality invariant.

**Proposition 7** *Let every  $(E_n, \tau_n)$  be locally complete. If  $(E, \tau) = \text{ind}(E_n, \tau_n)$  is locally complete and it satisfies condition  $(M_0)$ , then  $(E, \tau)$  is regular.*

**Proof.** The proof is almost the same than that which appear in [3]. For the sake of completeness, the proof follows: It is sufficient to prove that every Banach disk  $B \subset E$  is contained and bounded in some  $E_n$ . Let  $B \subset E$  be a Banach disk. By [7] Proposition 8.5.20, there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $B \subset p\overline{U}_p^E$ . As a direct application of lemma 1 in [8], it follows that  $\overline{U}_p^E = \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} \overline{U}_p^{E_k}$ . Then  $B \subset p\overline{U}_p^E = p \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} \overline{U}_p^{E_k}$ .

Since  $B$  is  $\tau$ -closed and  $\tau$ -bounded,  $B \cap E_k$  is  $\tau_k$ -closed and  $B \cap p\overline{U}_p^{E_k} \subset B$  is  $\tau$ -bounded, for every  $k \geq p$ . Let  $B_k = B \cap p\overline{U}_p^{E_k}$ . We assume that every  $U_k$  is  $\tau_k$ -closed, then  $\frac{1}{p}B_k \subset \overline{U}_p^{E_k} \subset \overline{U}_k^{E_k} = U_k$ , for every  $k \geq p$ . By condition  $(M_0)$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_{k+1}$  coincide on  $U_k$ , then  $\frac{1}{p}B_k$  is  $E_{k+1}$ -bounded. Now, local completeness of  $E_{k+1}$  implies that  $\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}$  is a Banach disk in  $E_{k+1}$ , so  $(E_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}})$  is a Banach space continuously embedded in  $E_{k+1}$ .

Note that for every  $k \geq p$ ,

$$\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}} = \overline{B \cap p\overline{U}_p^{E_k}}^{E_{k+1}} \subset \overline{B \cap p\overline{U}_p^{E_{k+1}}}^{E_{k+2}} = \overline{B}_{k+1}^{E_{k+2}}.$$

This implies that  $\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}$  is contained in  $\overline{B}_{k+1}^{E_{k+2}} \cap E_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}$ ; therefore  $(E_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}})$  is continuously embedded in  $(E_{\overline{B}_{k+1}^{E_{k+2}}}, \rho_{\overline{B}_{k+1}^{E_{k+2}}})$ .

It follows that  $\text{ind}(E_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}})$  is an  $(LB)$ -space. In order to finish the proof, we will prove that this is a non proper  $(LB)$ -space. In other words, we will show that there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(E_{\overline{B}_{k_0}^{E_{k_0+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B}_{k_0}^{E_{k_0+1}}}) = (E_B, \rho_B)$ :

Since  $B$  is  $\tau$ -closed and  $B_k \subset B$ , we have  $\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}} \subset B$ . And  $\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}} \subset B \cap E_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}$  which implies that the identity map  $i_k : (E_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B}_k^{E_{k+1}}}) \rightarrow (E_B, \rho_B)$  is continuous for every  $k \geq p$ .

On the other hand,

$$B = B \cap p \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} \overline{U_p}^{E_k} = \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} B \cap p \overline{U_p}^{E_k} = \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} B_k \subset \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} \overline{B_k}^{E_{k+1}} \subset B.$$

This means  $\text{span}(B) = \bigcup_{k=p}^{\infty} \text{span}(\overline{B_k}^{E_{k+1}})$ . Therefore the identity map

$i : \text{ind}(E_{\overline{B_k}^{E_{k+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B_k}^{E_{k+1}}}) \rightarrow (E_B, \rho_B)$  is continuous and onto. By the open-mapping theorem (see [7] Theorem 8.4.11) the inverse identity map

$j : (E_B, \rho_B) \rightarrow \text{ind}(E_{\overline{B_k}^{E_{k+1}}}, \rho_{\overline{B_k}^{E_{k+1}}})$  is continuous. By Jarchow [5] Corollary 5.6.4, the space  $(E_B, \rho_B)$  is continuously embedded in some  $(E_{\overline{B_{k_0}^{E_{k_0+1}}}}, \rho_{\overline{B_{k_0}^{E_{k_0+1}}}})$ .

We conclude that  $B$  is contained and bounded in  $E_{k_0+1}$ . ■

**Acknowledgement.** The author is very grateful to the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica for the kind hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

## References

- [1] BOSCH, C., GARCÍA, A., *Banach-Mackey, locally complete spaces and  $l_{p,q}$ -summability*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 23 N.10 (2000) 675-679.
- [2] DE WILDE, M., *Closed Graph Theorems and Webbed Spaces*, Pitman, 1978.
- [3] GARCÍA, A., *On sequentially retractive inductive limits*, To appear in Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
- [4] GÓMEZ-WULSCHNER, C. AND KUCERA, J., *Sequential completeness of inductive limits*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol.24, No. 6 (2000), 419-421.
- [5] JARCHOW, H., *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner Stuttgart, 1981.
- [6] KUCERA, J., *Sequential completeness of LF-spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J.
- [7] PEREZ-CARRERAS, P. AND BONET, J., *Barreled Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud.131, 1987.
- [8] QIU, J.H., *Retakh's conditions and regularity properties of (LF)-spaces*, Arch. Math. Vol. 67 (1996) 302-307.

- [9] QIU, J.H., *Local completeness and dual local quasi-completeness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [10] QIU, J.H., *Weak property  $(Y_0)$  and regularity of inductive limits*, J. Math. An. Appl. Vol. 246 (2000), 379-389.
- [11] ROBERTSON, W., *On the closed graph theorem and spaces with webs*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 24 (1972), 692-738.
- [12] VALDIVIA, M., *Quasi-LB-spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 35 (1987) 149-168.
- [13] VOGT, D., *Regularity properties of  $(LF)$ -spaces*. In: Progress in Functional Analysis, 57-84, North-Holland Math. Stud. 170, 1992.
- [14] WENGENROTH, J., *Acyclic inductive spectra of Fréchet spaces*, Stud. Math., 120 (1996), 247-258.

Instituto de Matemáticas. U.N.A.M.  
 Zona de la Investigación Científica.  
 Circuito Exterior. Ciudad Universitaria.  
 México, D. F. 04510 MEXICO.  
 e-mail address: agarcia@matem.unam.mx