

INVARIANCE OF MILNOR NUMBERS AND TOPOLOGY OF COMPLEX POLYNOMIALS

ARNAUD BODIN

ABSTRACT. We give a global version of Lê-Ramanujam μ -constant theorem for polynomials. Let (f_t) , $t \in [0, 1]$, be a family of polynomials of n complex variables with isolated singularities, whose coefficients are polynomials in t . We consider the case where some numerical invariants are constant (the affine Milnor number $\mu(t)$, the Milnor number at infinity $\lambda(t)$, the number of critical values, the number of affine critical values, the number of critical values at infinity). Let $n = 2$, we also suppose the degree of the f_t is a constant, then the polynomials f_0 and f_1 are topologically equivalent. For $n > 3$ we suppose that critical values at infinity depend continuously on t , then we prove that the geometric monodromy representations of the f_t , are all equivalent.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map, $n \geq 2$. By a result of Thom [Th] there is a minimal set of critical values \mathcal{B} of point of \mathbb{C} such that $f: f^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ is a fibration.

1.1. Affine singularities. We suppose that *affine singularities are isolated* i.e. that the set $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{grad}_f x = 0\}$ is a finite set. Let μ_c be the sum of the local Milnor numbers at the points of $f^{-1}(c)$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}} = \{c \mid \mu_c > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \mu_c$$

be the *affine critical values* and the *affine Milnor number*.

1.2. Singularities at infinity. See [Br]. Let d be the degree of $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $f = f^d + f^{d-1} + \dots + f^0$ where f^j is homogeneous of degree j . Let $\bar{f}(x, x_0)$ (with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$) be the homogenization of f with the new variable x_0 : $\bar{f}(x, x_0) = f^d(x) + f^{d-1}(x)x_0 + \dots + f^0(x)x_0^d$. Let

$$X = \{((x : x_0), c) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C} \mid \bar{f}(x, x_0) - cx_0^d = 0\}.$$

Date: December 12, 2001.

Let \mathcal{H}_∞ be the hyperplane at infinity of \mathbb{P}^n defined by $(x_0 = 0)$. The singular locus of X has the form $\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ where

$$\Sigma = \left\{ (x : 0) \mid \frac{\partial f^d}{\partial x_1} = \cdots = \frac{\partial f^d}{\partial x_n} = f^{d-1} = 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

We suppose that f has *isolated singularities at infinity* that is to say that Σ is finite. This is always true for $n = 2$. For a point $(x : 0) \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, assume, for example, that $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1)$ and set $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and

$$F_c(\tilde{x}, x_0) = \bar{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) - cx_0^d.$$

Let $\mu_{\tilde{x}}(F_c)$ be the local Milnor number of F_c at the point $(\tilde{x}, 0)$. If $(x : 0) \in \Sigma$ then $\mu_{\tilde{x}}(F_c) > 0$. For a generic s , $\mu_{\tilde{x}}(F_s) = \nu_{\tilde{x}}$, and for finitely many c , $\mu_{\tilde{x}}(F_c) > \nu_{\tilde{x}}$. We set $\lambda_{c, \tilde{x}} = \mu_{\tilde{x}}(F_c) - \nu_{\tilde{x}}$, $\lambda_c = \sum_{(x:0) \in \Sigma} \lambda_{c, \tilde{x}}$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty = \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda_c > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \lambda_c$$

be the *critical values at infinity* and the *Milnor number at infinity*. We can now describe the set of critical values \mathcal{B} as follows (see [HL] and [Pa]):

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}} \cup \mathcal{B}_\infty.$$

Moreover by [HL] and [ST] for $s \notin \mathcal{B}$, $f^{-1}(s)$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $\lambda + \mu$ spheres of real dimension $n - 1$.

1.3. Statement of the results.

Theorem 1. *Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family of complex polynomials from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C} whose coefficients are polynomials in t . We suppose that affine singularities and singularities at infinity are isolated. Let suppose that the integers $\mu(t)$, $\lambda(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ do not depend on $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover let us suppose that critical values at infinity $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ depend continuously on t . Then the fibrations $f_0: f_0^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0)$ and $f_1: f_1^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(1)$ are fiber homotopy equivalent, and for $n \neq 3$ are differentiably isomorphic.*

Remark 1. As a consequence for $n \neq 3$ and $* \notin \mathcal{B}(0) \cup \mathcal{B}(1)$ the monodromy representations

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0), *) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}(f_0^{-1}(*)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(1), *) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}(f_1^{-1}(*))$$

are equivalent (where $\text{Diff}(f_t^{-1}(*))$ denotes the diffeomorphisms of $f_t^{-1}(*)$ modulo diffeomorphisms isotopic to identity).

Remark 2. The restriction $n \neq 3$, as in [LR], is due to the use of the h -cobordism theorem.

Remark 3. This result extends a theorem of Hà H.V and Pham T.S. [HP] which deals only with monodromy at infinity (which correspond to a loop around the whole set $\mathcal{B}(t)$) for $n = 2$. For $n > 3$ the invariance of monodromy at infinity is stated by M. Tibăr in [Ti]. The proof is based on the articles of Hà H.V.-Pham T.S. [HP] and of Lê D.T.-C.P. Ramanujam [LR].

Lemma 2. *Under the hypotheses of the previous theorem (except the hypothesis of continuity of the critical values), and one of the following conditions:*

- $n = 2$, and $\deg f_t$ does not depend on t ;
- $\deg f_t$, and $\Sigma(t)$ do not depend on t , and for all $(x : 0) \in \Sigma(t)$, $\nu_{\bar{x}}(t)$ is independent of t ;

we have that $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ depends continuously on t , i.e. if $c(\tau) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\tau)$ then for all t near τ there exists $c(t)$ near $c(\tau)$ such that $c(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$.

Under the hypothesis that there is no singularity at infinity we can prove the stronger result:

Theorem 3. *Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family of complex polynomials whose coefficients are polynomials in t . Suppose that $\mu(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t)$ do not depend on $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover suppose that $n \neq 3$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t) = \emptyset$. Then the polynomials f_0 and f_1 are topologically equivalent that is to say there exists homeomorphisms Φ and Ψ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{C}^n \\ f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathbb{C} . \end{array}$$

For the proof we glue the former study with the version of the μ -constant theorem of Lê D.T. and C.P. Ramanujam stated by J.G. Timourian [Tm]: a μ -constant deformation of germs of isolated hypersurface singularity is a product family.

For polynomials in two variables we can prove the following theorem which is a global version of Lê-Ramanujam-Timourian theorem:

Theorem 4. *Let $n = 2$. Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family of complex polynomials whose coefficients are polynomials in t . Suppose that the integers $\mu(t)$, $\lambda(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$, $\deg f_t$ do not depend on $t \in [0, 1]$. Then the polynomials f_0 and f_1 are topologically equivalent.*

It uses a result of L. Fourrier [Fo] that give a necessary and sufficient condition for polynomials to be topologically equivalent outside sufficiently large compact sets of \mathbb{C}^2 .

This work was initiated by an advice of Lê D.T. concerning the article [Bo]: “It is easier to find conditions for polynomials to be equivalent than find all polynomials that respect a given condition.”

We will denote $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|x\| \leq R\}$, $S_R = \partial B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|x\| = R\}$ and $D_r(c) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \|s - c\| \leq r\}$.

2. FIBRATIONS

In this paragraph we give some properties for a complex polynomial $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The two first lemmas are consequences of transversality properties. There are direct generalizations of lemmas of [HP]. Let f be a polynomial of n complex variables with isolated affine singularities and with isolated singularities at infinity. For each fiber $f^{-1}(c)$ there is a finite number of real numbers $R > 0$ such that $f^{-1}(c)$ has non-transversal intersection with the sphere S_R . So for a sufficiently large number $R(c)$ the intersection $f^{-1}(c)$ with S_R is transversal for all $R \geq R(c)$. Let R_1 be the maximum of the $R(c)$ with $c \in \mathcal{B}$. We choose a small ε , $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ such that for all values c in the bifurcation set \mathcal{B} of f and for all $s \in D_\varepsilon(c)$ the intersection $f^{-1}(s) \cap S_{R_1}$ is transversal, this is possible by continuity of the transversality. Let choose $r > 0$ such that \mathcal{B} is contained in the interior of $D_r(0)$. We denote

$$K = D_r(0) \setminus \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{B}} \overset{\circ}{D}_\varepsilon(c).$$

Lemma 5. *There exists $R_0 \gg 1$ such that for all $R \geq R_0$ and for all s in K , $f^{-1}(s)$ intersects S_R transversally.*

Proof. We have to adapt the beginning of the proof of [HP]. If the assertion is false then we have a sequence (x_k) of points of \mathbb{C}^n such that $f(x_k) \in K$ and $\|x_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and such that there exists complex numbers λ_k with $\text{grad}_f x_k = \lambda_k x_k$, where the gradient is Milnor gradient: $\text{grad}_f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)$. Since K is a compact set we can suppose (after extracting a sub-sequence, if necessary) that $f(x_k) \rightarrow c \in K$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Then by the Curve Selection Lemma of [NZ] there exists a real analytic curve $x:]0, \varepsilon[\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $x(\tau) = a\tau^\beta + a_1\tau^{\beta+1} + \dots$ with $\beta < 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\text{grad}_f x(\tau) = \lambda(\tau)x(\tau)$. Then $f(x(\tau)) = c + c_1\tau^\rho + \dots$ with $\rho > 0$. Then we can redo the calculus of [HP]:

$$\frac{df(x(\tau))}{d\tau} = \left\langle \frac{dx}{d\tau}, \text{grad}_f x(\tau) \right\rangle = \bar{\lambda}(\tau) \left\langle \frac{dx}{d\tau}, x(\tau) \right\rangle$$

it implies

$$|\lambda(\tau)| \leq 2 \frac{\left| \frac{df(x(\tau))}{d\tau} \right|}{\frac{d\|x(\tau)\|^2}{d\tau}}.$$

As $\|x(\tau)\| = b_1\tau^\beta + \dots$ with $b_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and $\beta < 0$ we have $|\lambda(\tau)| \leq \gamma \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\tau^{2\beta-1}} = \gamma\tau^{\rho-2\beta}$ where γ is a constant. We end the proof by using the characterization of critical value at infinity in [Pa]:

$$\|x(\tau)\|^{1-1/N} \|\text{grad}_f x(\tau)\| = \|x(\tau)\|^{1-1/N} |\lambda(\tau)| \|x(\tau)\| \leq \gamma\tau^{\rho-\beta/N}.$$

As $\rho > 0$ and $\beta < 0$, for all $N > 0$ we have that $\|x(\tau)\|^{1-1/N} \|\text{grad}_f x(\tau)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. By [Pa] it implies that the value c (the limit of $f(x(\tau))$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$) is in \mathcal{B}_∞ . But as $c \in K$ it is impossible. \square

This first lemma enables us to get the following result: because of the transversality we can find a vector field tangent to the fibers of f and pointing out the spheres S_R . Integration of such a vector field gives the next lemma.

Lemma 6. *The fibrations $f: f^{-1}(K) \cap \mathring{B}_{R_0} \rightarrow K$ and $f: f^{-1}(K) \rightarrow K$ are differentiably isomorphic.*

We will also need the following fact:

Lemma 7. *The fibrations $f: f^{-1}(K) \rightarrow K$ and $f: f^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ are differentiably isomorphic.*

The following lemma is adapted from [LR]. For completeness we give the proof.

Lemma 8. *Let R, R' with $R \geq R'$ be real numbers such that the intersections $f^{-1}(K) \cap S_R$ and $f^{-1}(K) \cap S_{R'}$ are transversal. Let us suppose that $f: f^{-1}(K) \cap B_{R'} \rightarrow K$ and $f: f^{-1}(K) \cap B_R \rightarrow K$ are fibrations with fibers homotopic to a wedge of ν $(n-1)$ -dimensional spheres. Then the fibrations are fiber homotopy equivalent. And for $n \neq 3$ the fibrations are differentiably equivalent.*

Proof. The first part is a consequence of a result of A. Dold [Do, th. 6.3]. The first fibration is contained in the second. By the result of Dold we only have to prove that if $*$ $\in \partial D_r$ then the inclusion of $F' = f^{-1}(*) \cap B_{R'}$ in $F = f^{-1}(*) \cap B_R$ is an homotopy equivalence. To see this we choose a generic x_0 in \mathbb{C}^n such that the real function $x \mapsto \|x - x_0\|$ has non-degenerate critical points of index less than n (see [M1, §7]). Then F is obtained from F' by attaching cells of index less than n . For $n = 2$ the fibers are homotopic to a wedge of ν circles, then the inclusion of F' in F is an homotopy equivalence. For $n > 2$ the fibers F, F' are simply connected and the morphism $H_i(F') \rightarrow H_i(F)$ induced by inclusion is an isomorphism.

For $i \neq n - 1$ this is obvious since F and F' have the homotopy type of a wedge of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional spheres, and for $i = n - 1$ the exact sequence of the pair (F, F') is

$$H_n(F, F') \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F') \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(F, F')$$

with $H_n(F, F') = 0$, $H_{n-1}(F)$ and $H_{n-1}(F')$ free of rank ν , and $H_{n-1}(F, F')$ torsion-free. Then the inclusion of F' in F is an homotopy equivalence.

The second part is based on the h -cobordism theorem. Let $X = f^{-1}(K) \cap B_R \setminus \mathring{B}_{R'}$, then as f has no affine critical points in X (because there is no critical values in K) and f is transversal to $f^{-1}(K) \cap S_R$ and to $f^{-1}(K) \cap S_{R'}$ then by Ehresmann theorem $f: X \longrightarrow K$ is a fibration. We denote $F \setminus \mathring{F}'$ by F^* . We get an isomorphism $H_i(\partial F') \longrightarrow H_i(F^*)$ for all i because $H_i(F^*, \partial F') = H_i(F, F') = 0$. For $n = 2$ it implies that F^* is diffeomorphic to a product $[0, 1] \times \partial F'$. For $n > 3$ we will use the h -cobordism theorem to F^* to prove this. We have $\partial F^* = \partial F' \cup \partial F$; $\partial F'$ and ∂F are simply connected: if we look at the function $x \mapsto -\|x - x_0\|$ on $f^{-1}(*)$ for a generic x_0 , then $F = f^{-1}(*) \cap B_R$ and $F' = f^{-1}(*) \cap B_{R'}$ are obtained by gluing cells of index more or equal to $n - 1$. So their boundary is simply connected. For a similar reason F^* is simply connected. As we have isomorphisms $H_i(\partial F') \longrightarrow H_i(F^*)$ and both spaces are simply connected then by Hurewicz-Whitehead theorem the inclusion of $\partial F'$ in F^* is an homotopy equivalence. Now F^* , $\partial F'$, ∂F are simply connected, the inclusion of $\partial F'$ in F^* is an homotopy equivalence and F^* has real dimension $2n - 2 \geq 6$. So by the h -cobordism theorem [M2] F^* is diffeomorphic to the product $[0, 1] \times \partial F'$. Then the fibration $f: X \longrightarrow K$ is differentiably equivalent to the fibration $f: [0, 1] \times (f^{-1}(K) \cap S_{R'}) \longrightarrow K$ so the fibrations $f: f^{-1}(K) \cap B_{R'} \longrightarrow K$ and $f: f^{-1}(K) \cap B_R \longrightarrow K$ are differentiably equivalent. \square

3. FAMILY OF POLYNOMIALS

Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a family of polynomials that verify hypotheses of theorem 1.

Lemma 9 ([HP]). *There exists $R \gg 1$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ the affine critical points of f_t are in \mathring{B}_R .*

Proof. It is enough to prove it on $[0, \tau]$ with $\tau > 0$. We choose $R \gg 1$ such that all the affine critical points of f_0 are in \mathring{B}_R . We denote

$$\phi_t = \frac{\text{grad}_{f_t}}{\|\text{grad}_{f_t}\|} : S_R \longrightarrow S_1.$$

Then $\deg \phi_0 = \mu(0)$. For all $x \in S_R$, $\text{grad}_{f_0} x \neq 0$, and by continuity there exist $\tau > 0$ such that for $t \in [0, \tau]$ and all $x \in S_R$, $\text{grad}_{f_t} x \neq 0$. Then the maps ϕ_t are homotopic (the homotopy is $\phi: S_R \times [0, \tau] \rightarrow S_1$ with $\phi(x, t) = \phi_t(x)$). And then $\mu(0) = \deg \phi_0 = \deg \phi_t \leq \mu(t)$. If there exists a family $x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of affine critical points of ϕ_t such that $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, then for a sufficiently small t , $x(t) \notin B_R$ and then $\mu(t) > \deg \phi_t$. It contradicts the hypothesis $\mu(0) = \mu(t)$. \square

Lemma 10. *There exists $r \gg 1$ such that the subset $\{(c, t) \in D_r(0) \times [0, 1] \mid c \in \mathcal{B}(t)\}$ is a braid of $D_r(0) \times [0, 1]$.*

It enables us to choose $* \in \partial D_r(0)$ which is a regular value for all f_t , $t \in [0, 1]$. In other words if we enumerate $\mathcal{B}(0)$ as $\{c_1(0), \dots, c_m(0)\}$ then there is continuous functions $c_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow D_r(0)$ such that for $i \neq j$, $c_i(t) \neq c_j(t)$. This enables us to identify $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0), *)$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(1), *)$.

Proof. Let τ be in $[0, 1]$ and $c(\tau)$ be a critical value of f_τ then for all t near τ there exists a critical value $c(t)$ of f_t . It is an hypothesis for the critical values at infinity and this fact is well-known for affine critical values as the coefficients of f_t are smooth functions of t , see for example [Br, Prop. 2.1].

Moreover by the former lemma there can not exist critical values that escape at infinity *i.e.* a $\tau \in [0, 1]$ such that $|c(t)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \tau$. For affine critical values it is a consequence of the former lemma (or we can make the same proof as we now will perform for the critical values at infinity). For $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ let us suppose that there is critical values that escape at infinity. By continuity of the critical values at infinity with respect to t we can suppose that there is a continuous function $c_0(t)$ on $]0, \tau[$ ($\tau > 0$) with $c_0(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ and $|c(t)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. By continuity of the critical values at infinity, if $\mathcal{B}_\infty(0) = \{c_1(0), \dots, c_p(0)\}$ there exist continuous functions $c_i(t)$ on $[0, \tau]$ such that $c_i(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p$. And for a sufficiently small $t > 0$, $c_0(t) \neq c_i(t)$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$) then $\#\mathcal{B}_\infty(0) < \#\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ which contradicts the constancy of $\#\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$.

Finally there can not exist ramification points: suppose that there is a τ such that $c_i(\tau) = c_j(\tau)$ (and $c_i(t), c_j(t)$ are not equal in a neighborhood of τ). Then if $c_i(\tau) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(\tau) \setminus \mathcal{B}_\infty(\tau)$ (*resp.* $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\tau) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(\tau)$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\tau) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(\tau)$) there is jump in $\#\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t)$ (*resp.* $\#\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}(t)$) near τ which is impossible by assumption. \square

Let $R_0, K, D_r(0), D_\varepsilon(c)$ be the objects of the former section for the polynomial $f = f_0$. Moreover we suppose that R_0 is greater than the R obtained in lemma 9.

Lemma 11. *There exists $\tau \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $t \in [0, \tau]$ we have the properties:*

- $c_i(t) \in D_\varepsilon(c_i(0))$, $i = 1, \dots, m$;
- for all $s \in K$, $f_t^{-1}(s)$ intersects S_{R_0} transversally.

Proof. The first point is just the continuity of the critical values $c_i(t)$. The second point is the continuity of transversality: if the property is false then there exists sequences $t_k \rightarrow 0$, $x_k \in S_{R_0}$ and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{grad}_{f_{t_k}} x_k = \lambda_k x_k$. We can suppose that (x_k) converges (after extraction of a sub-sequence, if necessary). Then $x_k \rightarrow x \in S_{R_0}$, $\text{grad}_{f_{t_k}} x_k \rightarrow \text{grad}_{f_0} x$, and $\lambda_k = \langle \text{grad}_{f_{t_k}} x_k | x_k \rangle / \|x_k\|^2 = \langle \text{grad}_{f_{t_k}} x_k | x_k \rangle / R_0^2$ converges toward $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\text{grad}_{f_0} x = \lambda x$ and the intersection is non-transversal. \square

Lemma 12. *The fibrations $f_0: f_0^{-1}(K) \cap B_{R_0} \rightarrow K$ and $f_\tau: f_\tau^{-1}(K) \cap B_{R_0} \rightarrow K$ are differentiably isomorphic.*

Proof. Let

$$F: \mathbb{C}^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1], \quad (x, t) \mapsto (f_t(x), t).$$

We want to prove that the fibrations $F_0: \Sigma_0 = F^{-1}(K \times \{0\}) \cap (B_{R_0} \times \{0\}) \rightarrow K$, $(x, 0) \mapsto f_0(x)$ and $F_\tau: \Sigma_\tau = F^{-1}(K \times \{\tau\}) \cap (B_{R_\tau} \times \{\tau\}) \rightarrow K$, $(x, \tau) \mapsto f_\tau(x)$ are differentiably isomorphic. Let denote $[0, \tau]$ by I . Then F has maximal rank on $F^{-1}(K \times I) \cap (\overset{\circ}{B}_{R_0} \times I)$ and on the boundary $F^{-1}(K \times I) \cap (S_{R_0} \times I)$. By Ehresmann theorem $F: F^{-1}(K \times I) \cap (B_{R_0} \times I) \rightarrow K \times I$ is a fibration. But we can not argue as in [LR] since the restriction of F on the set $\{(x, t) \in S_{R_0} \times I \mid f_t(x) \in D_r(0)\}$ is not a trivial fibration.

As in [HP] we build a vector field that give us a diffeomorphism between the two fibrations F_0 and F_τ . Let R_2 be a real number close to R_0 such that $R_2 < R_0$. On the set $F^{-1}(K \times I) \cap (\cup_{R_2 < R < R_0} S_R \times I)$ we build a vector field v_1 such that for $z \in S_R \times I$ ($R_2 < R < R_0$), $v_1(z)$ is tangent to $S_R \times I$ and we have $d_z F.v_1(z) = (0, 1)$. On the set $F^{-1}(K \times I) \cap (\overset{\circ}{B}_{R_3} \times I)$ with $R_2 < R_3 < R_0$ we build a second vector field v_2 such that $d_z F.v_2(z) = (0, 1)$, this is possible because F is a submersion on this set.

By gluing these vector fields v_1 and v_2 by a partition of unity and by integrating the corresponding vector field we obtain integral curves $p_z: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F^{-1}(K \times I) \cap B_{R_0} \times I$ for $z \in \Sigma_0$ such that $p_z(0) = z$ and $p_z(\tau) \in \Sigma_\tau$. It induces a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \Sigma_\tau$ such that $F_0 = F_\tau \circ \Phi$; that makes the fibrations isomorphic. \square

Proof of theorem 1. It suffices to prove the theorem for an interval $[0, \tau]$ with $\tau > 0$. We choose τ as in lemma 11. By lemma 7, $f_0: f^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0)$ and $f_0: f_0^{-1}(K) \rightarrow K$ are differentiably isomorphic fibrations. Then by lemma 6, the fibration $f_0: f_0^{-1}(K) \rightarrow K$ is differentiably isomorphic to $f_0: f_0^{-1}(K) \cap \mathring{B}_{R_0} \rightarrow K$ which is, by lemma 12 differentiably isomorphic to $f_\tau: f_\tau^{-1}(K) \cap \mathring{B}_{R_0} \rightarrow K$.

By continuity of transversality (lemma 11) $f_\tau^{-1}(K)$ has transversal intersection with S_{R_0} , we choose a large real number R (by lemma 5 applied to f_τ) such that $f_\tau^{-1}(K)$ intersects S_R transversally. The last fibration is fiber homotopy equivalent to $f_\tau: f_\tau^{-1}(K) \cap \mathring{B}_R \rightarrow K$: it is the first part of lemma 8 because the fiber $f_\tau^{-1}(*) \cap \mathring{B}_{R_0}$ is homotopic to a wedge of $\mu(0) + \lambda(0)$ circles and the fiber $f_\tau^{-1}(*) \cap \mathring{B}_R$ is homotopic to a wedge of $\mu(\tau) + \lambda(\tau)$ circles; as $\mu(0) + \lambda(0) = \mu(\tau) + \lambda(\tau)$ we get the desired conclusion. Moreover for $n \neq 3$ by the second part of lemma 8 the fibrations are differentiably isomorphic.

Applying lemma 6 and 7 to f_τ this fibration is differentiably isomorphic to $f_\tau: f_\tau^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\tau)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\tau)$. As a conclusion the fibrations $f_0: f_0^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(0)$ and $f_\tau: f_\tau^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\tau)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\tau)$ are fiber homotopy equivalent, and for $n \neq 3$ are differentiably isomorphic \square

4. AROUND AFFINE SINGULARITIES

We now work with $t \in [0, 1]$. We suppose in this paragraph that the critical values $\mathcal{B}(t)$ depend analytically on $t \in [0, 1]$. This enables us to construct a diffeomorphism:

$$\chi: \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1], \quad \text{with } \chi(x, t) = (\chi_t(x), t),$$

such that $\chi_0 = \text{id}$ and $\chi_t(\mathcal{B}(t)) = \mathcal{B}(0)$. We denote χ_1 by Ψ , so that $\Psi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ verify $\Psi(\mathcal{B}(1)) = \mathcal{B}(0)$. Moreover we can suppose that χ_t is equal to id on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D_r(0)$ this is possible because for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{B}(t) \subset D_r(0)$. Finally χ defines a vector field w of $\mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$ by $\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}$.

We need a non-splitting of the affine singularity, this principle has been proved by C. Has Bey ([HB], $n = 2$) and by F. Lazzeri ([La], for all n).

Lemma 13. *Let $x(\tau)$ be an affine singular point of f_τ and let U_τ be an open neighborhood of $x(\tau)$ in \mathbb{C}^n such that $x(\tau)$ is the only affine singular point of f_τ in U_τ . Suppose that for all t closed to τ , the restriction of f_t to U_τ has only one critical value. Then for all t sufficiently closed to τ , there is one, and only one, affine singular point of f_t contained in U_τ .*

So we can enumerate the singularities: if we denote the affine singularities of f_0 by $\{x_i(0)\}_{i \in J}$ then there is continuous functions $x_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\{x_i(t)\}_{i \in J}$ is the set of affine singularities of f_t . Let us notice that there

can be two distinct singular points of f_t with the same critical value. We suppose that (f_t) verifies the hypotheses of theorem 1, that $n \neq 3$, and $\mathcal{B}(t)$ depends analytically on t . This and the former lemma imply that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ the local Milnor number of f_t at $x(t)$ is equal to the local Milnor number of f_0 at $x(0)$. The improved version of Lê-Ramanujam theorem by J.G. Timourian [Tm] for a family of germs with constant local Milnor numbers proves that (f_t) is locally a product family.

Theorem 14 (Lê-Ramanujam-Timourian). *Let $x(t)$ be a singular points of f_t . There exists U_t, V_t neighborhoods of $x(t), f_t(x(t))$ respectively and an homeomorphism Ω^{in} such that if $U = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} U_t \times \{t\}$ and $V = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} V_t \times \{t\}$ the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\Omega^{\text{in}}} & U_0 \times [0, 1] \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \times \text{id} \\ V & \xrightarrow{\chi} & V_0 \times [0, 1] . \end{array}$$

In particular it proves that the polynomials f_0 and f_1 are locally topologically equivalent: we get an homeomorphism Φ_{in} such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\text{in}}} & U_0 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & V_0 . \end{array}$$

By lemma 9 we know that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{B}(t) \subset D_r(0)$. We extend the definition of R_0 and R_1 to all f_t . Be continuity of transversality and compactness of $[0, 1]$ we choose R_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall c \in \mathcal{B}(0) \quad \forall R \geq R_1 \quad f_0^{-1}(c) \pitchfork S_R \quad \text{and} \\ \forall t \in [0, 1] \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{B}(t) \quad f_t^{-1}(c) \pitchfork S_{R_1} . \end{aligned}$$

For a sufficiently small ε we denote

$$K(0) = D_r(0) \setminus \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(0)} D_\varepsilon(c), \quad K(t) = \chi_t^{-1}(K(0))$$

and we choose $R_0 \geq R_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \in K(t) \quad \forall R \geq R_0 \quad f_0^{-1}(s) \pitchfork S_R \quad \text{and} \\ \forall t \in [0, 1] \quad \forall s \in K(t) \quad f_t^{-1}(s) \pitchfork S_{R_0} . \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$B'_t = B_{R_1} \cup (f_t^{-1}(K(t)) \cap \mathring{B}_{R_0}), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Lemma 15. *There exists an homeomorphism Φ such that we have the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B'_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & B'_0 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ D_r(0) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D_r(0). \end{array}$$

Proof. We denote by U'_t a neighborhood of $x(t)$ such that $\bar{U}'_t \subset U_t$. We denote by \mathcal{U}_t (resp. \mathcal{U}'_t), the union (on the affine singular points of f_t) of the U_t (resp. U'_t). We set

$$B''_t = B'_t \setminus \mathcal{U}'_t, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

We can extend the homeomorphism Φ of lemma 12 to $\Phi_{\text{out}}: B''_1 \rightarrow B''_0$. We just have to extend the vector field of lemma 12 to a new vector field denoted by v' such that

- v' is tangent to $\partial\mathcal{U}'_t$,
- v' is tangent to $S_{R_1} \times [0, 1]$ on $F^{-1}(D_r(0) \setminus K(t) \times \{t\})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,
- v' is tangent to $S_{R_0} \times [0, 1]$ on $F^{-1}(K(t) \times \{t\})$ for all t .
- $d_z F.v'(z) = w(F(z))$ for all $z \in \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} B''_t \times \{t\}$, which means that Φ_{out} respect the fibrations.

If we set $B'' = \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} B''_t \times \{t\}$ the integration of v' gives Ω_{out} and Φ_{out} such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B'' & \xrightarrow{\Omega^{\text{out}}} & B''_0 \times [0, 1] \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \times \text{id} \\ \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1], \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B''_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\text{out}}} & B''_0 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ D_r(0) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D_r(0). \end{array}$$

We now explain how to glue Φ_{in} and Φ_{out} together. We can suppose that there exists spheres S_t centered at the singularities $x(t)$ such that if $S = \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} S_t \times \{t\}$ $\Omega^{\text{in}}: S \rightarrow S_0 \times [0, 1]$ and $\Omega^{\text{out}}: S \rightarrow S_0 \times [0, 1]$. It defines $\Omega_t^{\text{in}}: S_t \rightarrow S_0$ and $\Omega_t^{\text{out}}: S_t \rightarrow S_0$. Now we define

$$\Theta_t: S_1 \rightarrow S_0, \quad \Theta_t = \Omega_t^{\text{in}} \circ (\Omega_t^{\text{out}})^{-1} \circ \Phi_{\text{out}}.$$

Then $\Theta_0 = \Phi_{\text{out}}$ and $\Theta_1 = \Phi_{\text{in}}$. On a set homeomorphic to $S \times [0, 1]$ included in $\bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} U_t \setminus U'_t$ we glue Φ_{in} to Φ_{out} , moreover this gluing respect the fibrations f_0 and f_1 . We end by doing this construction for all affine singular points. \square

Proof of theorem 3. We firstly prove that affine critical values are analytic functions of t . Let $c(0) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(0)$, the set $\{(c(t), t) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a real algebraic subset of $\mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$ as all affine critical points are contained in B_{R_0} (lemma 9). In fact there is a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x, t]$ such that $(c = 0)$ is equal to $(P = 0) \cap \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$. Because the set of critical values is a braid of $\mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$ (lemma 10) then $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth analytic function.

If we suppose that $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t) = \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ then by lemma 6 we can extend $\Phi: B'_1 \rightarrow B'_0$ to $\Phi: f_1^{-1}(D_r(0)) \rightarrow f_0^{-1}(D_r(0))$. And as $\mathcal{B}(t) \subset D_r(0)$ by a lemma similar to lemma 7 we can extend the homeomorphism to the whole space. \square

5. POLYNOMIALS IN TWO VARIABLES

We set $n = 2$. Let $f_t: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the coefficient of this family are algebraic in t . We suppose that the integers $\mu(t)$, $\lambda(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t)$, $\#\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ do not depend on $t \in [0, 1]$. We also suppose the $\deg f_t$ does not depend on t .

We recall a result of L. Fourier [Fo]. Let $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with set of critical values at infinity \mathcal{B}_∞ . Let $* \notin \mathcal{B}$ and $Z = f^{-1}(*) \cup \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{B}_\infty} f^{-1}(c)$. The *total link of f* is $L_f = Z \cap S_R$ for a sufficiently large R . To f we associate a resolution $\phi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the components of the divisor of this resolution on which ϕ is surjective are the *dicritical components*. For each dicritical component D we have a branched covering $\phi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If the set of dicritical components is D_{dic} we then have the restriction of ϕ , $\phi_{\text{dic}}: D_{\text{dic}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The *0-monodromy representation* is the representation

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\phi_{\text{dic}}^{-1}(*)).$$

Theorem 16 (Fourier). *Let f, g be complex polynomials in two variables with equivalent 0-monodromy representations and equivalent total links then there exist homeomorphisms Φ_∞ and Ψ_∞ and compact sets C, C' of \mathbb{C}^2 that make the diagram commuting:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus C & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\infty} & \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus C' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\infty} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

For our family (f_t) , by theorem 1 we know that the geometric monodromy representations are all equivalent, it implies that all the 0-monodromy representations of (f_t) are equivalent. Moreover if we suppose that for any $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ the total links L_{f_t} and $L_{f_{t'}}$ are equivalent, then by the former theorem the polynomials f_t and $f_{t'}$ are topologically equivalent out of some

compact sets of \mathbb{C}^2 . We need a result a bit stronger which can be proved by similar arguments than in [Fo] and we will omit the proof:

Lemma 17. *Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a polynomial family such that the coefficients are algebraic functions of t . We suppose that the 0-monodromy representations and the total links are all equivalent. Then there exists compact sets $C(t)$ of \mathbb{C}^2 and an homeomorphism Ω^∞ such that if $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} C(t) \times \{t\}$ we have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 \times [0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\Omega^\infty} & \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus C(0) \times [0, 1] \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \times \text{id} \\ \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1] . \end{array}$$

We now prove a strong version of the continuity of critical values.

Lemma 18. *The critical values are smooth analytic functions of t . Moreover for $c(t) \in \mathcal{B}(t)$, the integer $\mu_{c(t)}$ and $\lambda_{c(t)}$ do not depend on $t \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. For affine critical values, refer to the proof of theorem 3. The constancy of $\mu_{c(t)}$ is a consequence of lemma 9 and lemma 13. For critical values at infinity we need a result of [Ha] and [HP] that enables to calculate critical values and Milnor numbers at infinity. As $\deg f_t$ is constant we can suppose that this degree is $\deg_y f_t$. Let denote $\Delta(x, s, t)$ the discriminant $\text{Disc}_y(f_t(x, y) - s)$ with respect to y . We write

$$\Delta(x, s, t) = q_1(s, t)x^{k(t)} + q_2(s, t)x^{k(t)-1} + \dots$$

First of all Δ has constant degree $k(t)$ in x because $k(t) = \mu(t) + \lambda(t) + \deg f_t - 1$ (see [HP]). Secondly by [Ha] we have

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty(t) = \{s \mid q_1(s, t) = 0\}$$

then we see that critical values at infinity depend continuously on t and that critical values at infinity are a real algebraic subset of $\mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$. For the analicity we end as in the proof of theorem 3. Finally, for a fixed t , we have that $\lambda_c = k(t) - \deg_x \Delta(x, c, t)$. In other words $q_i(t, c)$ is zero for $i = 1, \dots, \lambda_c$ and non-zero for $i = \lambda_c + 1$. For $c(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ we now prove that $\lambda_{c(t)}$ is constant. The former formula proves that $\lambda_{c(t)}$ is constant except for all but finitely many $\tau \in [0, 1]$ for which $\lambda_{c(\tau)} \geq \lambda_{c(t)}$. But if $\lambda_{c(\tau)} > \lambda_{c(t)}$ then $\lambda(\tau) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\tau)} \lambda_c > \sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)} \lambda_c = \lambda(t)$ which contradicts the hypotheses. \square

To apply lemma 17 we need to prove:

Lemma 19. *For any $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ the total links L_{f_t} and $L_{f_{t'}}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. The problem is similar to the one of [LR] and to lemma 8. For a value $c(t)$ in $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ or equal to $*$, we have that the link at infinity $f_0^{-1}(c(0)) \cap S_{R_1}$ is equivalent to the link $f_1^{-1}(c(1)) \cap S_{R_1}$ (lemma 15). But $f_1^{-1}(c(1)) \cap S_{R_1}$ is not necessarily the link at infinity for $f_1^{-1}(c(1))$. We now prove this fact; let denote $c = c(1)$. Let $R_2 \geq R_1$ such that for all $R \geq R_2$, $f_1^{-1}(c) \pitchfork S_R$, then $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap S_{R_2}$ is the link at infinity of $f_1^{-1}(c)$. We choose η , $0 < \eta \ll 1$ such that $f_1^{-1}(D_\eta(c))$ has transversal intersection with S_{R_1} and S_{R_2} and such that $f_1^{-1}(\partial D_\eta(c))$ has transversal intersection with all S_R , $R \in [R_1, R_2]$. Notice that η is much smaller than the ε of the former paragraphs and that $f_1^{-1}(s) \cap S_{R_2}$ is **not** the link at infinity of $f_1^{-1}(s)$ for $s \in \partial D_\eta(c)$. We fix R_0 smaller than R_1 such that $f_1^{-1}(D_\eta(c))$ has transversal intersection with S_{R_0} . We denote $f_1^{-1}(D_\eta(c)) \cap B_{R_i} \setminus \dot{B}_{R_0}$ by \mathcal{A}_i , $i = 1, 2$. The proof is now similar to the one of lemma 8. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be connected components of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 with $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$. By Ehresmann theorem, we have fibrations $f_1: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow D_\eta(c)$, $f_1: \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow D_\eta(c)$. From one hand $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap B_{R_1}$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $\mu + \lambda - \mu_{c(0)} - \lambda_{c(0)}$ circles, because $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap B_{R_1}$ is diffeomorphic to $f_1^{-1}(c(0)) \cap B_{R_1}$ with Euler characteristic $1 - \mu - \lambda + \mu_{c(0)} + \lambda_{c(0)}$ by Suzuki formula. From the other hand $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap B_{R_2}$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $\mu + \lambda - \mu_{c(1)} - \lambda_{c(1)}$ circles by Suzuki formula. By lemma 18 we have that $\mu_{c(0)} + \lambda_{c(0)} = \mu_{c(1)} + \lambda_{c(1)}$, with $c = c(1)$, so the fiber $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap B_{R_1}$ and $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap B_{R_2}$ are homotopic, it implies that the fibrations $f_1: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow D_\eta(c)$ and $f_1: \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow D_\eta(c)$ are fiber homotopy equivalent, and even more are diffeomorphic. It provides a diffeomorphism $\Xi: \mathcal{A}_1 \cap S_{R_1} = \mathcal{A}_2 \cap S_{R_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \cap S_{R_2}$ and we can suppose that $\Xi(f_1^{-1}(c) \cap \mathcal{A}_1 \cap S_{R_1})$ is equal to $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap \mathcal{A}_1 \cap S_{R_1}$. By doing this for all connected components of \mathcal{A}_1 , \mathcal{A}_2 , for all values $c \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(1) \cup \{*\}$ and by extending Ξ to the whole spheres we get a diffeomorphism $\Xi: S_{R_1} \rightarrow S_{R_2}$ such that $\Xi(f_1^{-1}(c) \cap S_{R_1}) = f_1^{-1}(c) \cap S_{R_2}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(1) \cup \{*\}$. Then the total link for f_0 and f_1 are equivalent. \square

Proof of theorem 4. By lemma 17 we have a trivialization $\Omega^\infty: \mathbb{C}^2 \times [0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}(0) \times [0, 1]$. We can choose the R_1 (before lemma 15) such that $\dot{\mathcal{C}}(t) \subset B_{R_1}$. And then the proof of this lemma gives us an $\Omega^{\text{out}}: \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} B''(t) \times \{t\} \rightarrow B''(0) \times [0, 1]$. By gluing Ω^{out} and Ω^∞ as in this proof we obtain $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Then f_0 and f_1 are topologically equivalent. \square

6. CONTINUITY OF THE CRITICAL VALUES AT INFINITY

Lemma 20. *Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family of polynomials such that the coefficients are polynomials in t . We suppose that:*

- *the total affine Milnor number $\mu(t)$ is constant;*
- *the degree $\deg f_t$ is a constant;*
- *the set of critical points at infinity $\Sigma(t)$ is finite and does not vary: $\Sigma(t) = \Sigma$;*
- *for all $(x : 0) \in \Sigma$, the generic Milnor number $\nu_{\tilde{x}}(t)$ is independent of t .*

Then the critical values at infinity depend continuously on t , i.e. if $c(t_0) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t_0)$ then for all t near t_0 there exists $c(t)$ near $c(t_0)$ such that $c(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$.

Let f be a polynomial. For $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $(x : 1)$ in \mathbb{P}^n and if $x_n \neq 0$ we divide x by x_n to obtain local coordinates at infinity (\tilde{x}', x_0) . The following lemma explains the link between the critical points of f and those of F_c . It uses Euler relation for the homogeneous polynomial of f of degree d .

Lemma 21.

- *F_c has a critical point (\tilde{x}', x_0) with $x_0 \neq 0$ of critical value 0 if and only if f has a critical point x with critical value c .*
- *F_c has a critical point $(\tilde{x}', 0)$ of critical value 0 if and only if $(x : 0) \in \Sigma$.*

Proof of lemma 20. We suppose that critical values at infinity are *not* continuous functions of t . Then there exists (t_0, c_0) such that $c_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t_0)$ and for all (t, c) in a neighborhood of (t_0, c_0) , we have $c \notin \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$. Let P be the point of irregularity at infinity for (t_0, c_0) . Then $\mu_P(F_{t_0, c_0}) > \mu_P(F_{t_0, c})$ ($c \neq c_0$) by definition of $c_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(t_0)$ and by semi-continuity of the local Milnor number at P we have $\nu_P(t_0) = \mu_P(F_{t_0, c_0}) \geq \mu_P(F_{t, c}) = \nu_P(t)$, $(t, c) \neq (t_0, c_0)$.

We consider t as a complex parameter. By continuity of the critical points and by conservation of the Milnor number for $(t, c) \neq (t_0, c_0)$ we have critical points $M(t, c)$ near P of $F_{t, c}$ that are not equal to P . This fact uses that $\deg f_t$ is a constant, in order to prove that $F_{t, c}$ depends continuously of t .

Let denote by V' the algebraic variety of $\mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $(t, c, s, x) \in V'$ if and only if $F_{t, c}$ has a critical point x with critical value s (the equations are $\text{grad } F_{t, c}(x) = 0, F_{t, c}(x) = s$). If $\mu_P(F_{t, c}) > 0$ for a generic (t, c) then $\{(t, c, 0, P) \mid (t, c) \in \mathbb{C}^2\}$ is a subvariety of V' . We define V to be the closure of V' minus this subvariety. Then for a generic (t, c) , $(t, c, 0, P) \notin V$. We call $\pi: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ the projection on the first factor. We set $W = \pi(V)$. Then W is locally an algebraic variety around $(t_0, c_0, 0)$. For each (t, c)

there is a non-zero finite number of values s such that $(t, c, s) \in W$. So W is locally an equi-dimensional variety of codimension 1. Then it is a germ of hypersurface of \mathbb{C}^3 . Let $P(t, c, s)$ be the polynomial that defines W locally. We set $Q(t, c) = P(t, c, 0)$. As $Q(t_0, c_0) = 0$ then in all neighborhoods of (t_0, c_0) there exists $(t, c) \neq (t_0, c_0)$ such that $Q(t, c) = 0$. Moreover there are solutions for t a real number near t_0 .

Then for $(t, c) \neq (t_0, c_0)$ we have that: $Q(t, c) = 0$ if and only if $F_{t,c}$ has a critical point $M(t, c) \neq P$ with critical value 0. The point $M(t, c)$ is not equal to P because as $t \neq t_0$, $(t, c, 0, P) \notin V$: it uses that $c \notin \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$ for $t \neq t_0$, and that $\nu_P(t) = \nu_P(t_0)$. Let us notice that $M(t, c) \rightarrow P$ as $(t, c) \rightarrow (t_0, c_0)$.

We end the proof by studying the different cases:

- if we have $M(t, c)$ in \mathcal{H}_∞ (of equation $(x_0 = 0)$) then $M(t, c) \in \Sigma$ which provides a contradiction because then it is equal to P ;
- if we have points $M(t, c)$, not in \mathcal{H}_∞ , with $t \neq t_0$ then there are affine critical points $M'(t, c)$ of f_t (lemma 21), and as $M(t, c)$ tends towards P (as (t, c) tends towards (t_0, c_0)) we have that $M'(t, c)$ escapes at infinity, it contradicts the fact that critical points of f_t are bounded (lemma 9).
- if we have points $M(t_0, c)$, not in \mathcal{H}_∞ , then there is infinitely many affine critical points for f_{t_0} , which is impossible since the singularities of f_{t_0} are isolated.

□

7. EXAMPLES

Example 1. Let $f_t = x(x^2y + tx + 1)$. Then $\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t) = \{0\}$, $\lambda(t) = 1$ and $\deg f_t = 4$. Then by theorem 4, f_0 and f_1 are topologically equivalent. These are examples of polynomials that are topologically but not algebraically equivalent, see [Bo].

Example 2. Let $f_t = (x + t)(xy + 1)$. Then f_0 and f_1 are not topologically equivalent. One has $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t) = \{0, t\}$ for $t \neq 0$, but $\mathcal{B}_\infty(0) = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(0) = \emptyset$. In fact the two affine critical points for f_t “escape at infinity” as t tends towards 0.

Example 3. Let $f_t = x(x(y + tx^2) + 1)$. Then f_0 is topologically equivalent to f_1 . We have for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{B}_{\text{aff}}(t) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(t) = \{0\}$, and $\lambda(t) = 1$, but $\deg f_t = 4$ for $t \neq 0$ while $\deg f_0 = 3$.

REFERENCES

- [Bo] A. BODIN, Classification of polynomials from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C} with one critical value, to appear in *Mathematische Zeitschrift*.
- [Br] S.A. BROUGHTON, Milnor numbers and the topology of polynomials hypersurfaces, *Inv. Math.*, 92, 217-241, 1988.
- [Do] A. DOLD, Partition of unity in the theory of fibrations, *Ann. Math.*, 78, 223-255, 1963.
- [Du] A. DURFEE, Five definitions of critical point at infinity, Singularities (Oberwolfach, 1996), *Progr. Math.*, 162, Birkhäuser, Basel, 345-360, 1998.
- [Fo] L. FOURRIER, Topologie d'un polynôme de deux variables complexes au voisinage de l'infini, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 46, 645-687, 1996.
- [Ha] HÀ H.V., Sur la fibration globale des polynômes de deux variables complexes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 309, 231-234, 1989.
- [HL] HÀ H.V. and LÊ D.T., Sur la topologie des polynômes complexes, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, 9, 21-32, 1984.
- [HP] HÀ H.V. and PHAM T.S., Invariance of the global monodromies in families of polynomials of two complex variables, *Acta. Mat. Viet.*, 22, 515-526, 1997.
- [HB] C. HAŞ BEY, Sur l'irréductibilité de la monodromie locale; application à l'équisingularité, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 275, 105-107, 1972.
- [La] F. LAZZERI, A theorem on the monodromy of isolated singularities, Singularités à Cargèse 1972, *Asterisque*, 7-8, 269-275, 1973.
- [LR] LÊ D.T. and C.P. RAMANUJAM, The invariance of Milnor's number implies the invariance of the topological type, *Amer. J. Math.*, 98, 67-78, 1976.
- [M1] J. MILNOR, Morse theory, *Ann. of Math.*, Studies 51, Princeton University Press, 1963.
- [M2] J. MILNOR, Lectures on the h -cobordism Theorem, Princeton University Press, 1965.
- [NZ] A. NÉMETHI and A. ZAHARIA, Milnor fibration at infinity, *Indag. Math. N. S.*, 3, 323-335, 1992.
- [Pa] A. PARUSIŃSKI, On the bifurcation set of complex polynomial with isolated singularities at infinity, *Compositio Math.*, 97, 369-384, 1995.
- [ST] D. SIERSMA and M. TIBĂR, Singularities at infinity and their vanishing cycles, *Duke Math. J.*, 80, 771-783, 1995.
- [Th] R. THOM, Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75, 249-312, 1969.
- [Ti] M. TIBĂR, On the monodromy fibration of polynomial functions with singularities at infinity, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 324, 1031-1035, 1997.
- [Tm] J.G. TIMOURIAN, The invariance of Milnor's number implies topological triviality, *Amer. J. Math.*, 99, 437-446, 1977.

Arnaud Bodin
 Centre de Recerca Matemàtica,
 Apartat 50,
 08193 Bellaterra, Spain
 abodin@crm.es